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1. The Problem

1. The Manchester Guardian was founded by John Edward Taylor in 1821. The Guardian achieved national and international recognition under the editorship of CP Scott, who held the post for 57 years from 1872. He outlined the weltanschau of The Guardian in a much-quoted article written to celebrate the centenary of the paper: "Comment is free, but facts are sacred... The voice of opponents no less than that of friends has a right to be heard."

2. In the past twenty five years, The Guardian has faced increasing competitive threats. In 1986 The Independent launched, taking some of the centre ground between The Guardian on the one hand and the Times and Telegraph on the other. Then The Times cut its price to 20p in 1994. The Guardian was the only broadsheet to stay aloof from the price war. It responded in other ways. One was to invest heavily in technology, including the development of a new network of websites, launched in January 1999. By March 2001 Guardian Unlimited had over 2.4 million unique users, making it the most popular UK newspaper website.

3. In 1904, Chaim Weizmann became Professor of Chemistry at Manchester University. CP Scott first met Weizmann at a tea party in Manchester ten years later, in September 1914. He was clearly impressed by the Zionist pioneer. Scott wrote: "What struck me in his view was first the perfectly clear conception of a Jewish nationalism... and secondly his demand for a country, a homeland, which for him, and for anyone sharing his view of Jewish nationality, could only be the ancient home of his race."

4. Many of today’s Guardian readers would be amazed to learn that Scott became a tremendous asset to the Zionist cause. Through introductions arranged by Scott, Weizmann was able to converse with Lloyd George, Lord Balfour, Herbert Samuel, and other leading members of the government. It was Scott who argued for the potential importance of Weizmann’s discovery regarding the manufacture of acetone, and who leaked to Weizmann details of the embryonic Sykes-Picot agreement (secret Anglo-French negotiations on how to divide up the Ottoman Empire, including Palestine, after the War). Scott was also the first member to join the British-Palestine Committee, the Manchester organisation that founded the Zionist publication Palestine.

5. CP Scott died on 1 January 1932. There is no doubt that he would have been horrified at the way that “Comment Is Free” (CIF – The Guardian’s Website which allows readers to post comments on articles selected by CIF Editors) has become a meeting place for antisemites, including those who question the Zionist aim of a Jewish homeland.

6. The Appendix which follows documents some 50 examples of antisemitism over the past year on CIF. These were collected by me alone so it is a fair assumption that numerous as they are, this is only the tip of the iceberg. The majority were removed by the Moderators but the elapse of time between posting and removal suggests that removal did not occur proactively but was in response to a complaint. In some cases (noted in the Appendix) the material took many days to be deleted.
7. A secondary problem is biased Moderation policy. Examples are listed in the appendix. On occasion (see example 5) the identification of antisemitism is itself deleted, without any antisemitic comments also being deleted. On other occasions pro-Israel comments pointing out ‘context’ are deleted as ‘off-topic’.

2. **The New Software**

8. In March Georgina Henry announced that she was handing over the Editorship of CIF to Matt Seaton, in order to move to developing the new site and other Guardian comment sites. The history of CIF is that articles have been commissioned above all from writers to whom the Jewish character of Israel is either unimportant or undesirable, such as Inayat Bunglawala, Jimmy Carter, John Chalcraft, Mick Dumper, Seth Freedman, George Galloway, Tony Greenstein, Soumaya Ghannoushi, Ghada Karmi, Brian Klug, Seumas Milne, Karma Nabulsi, Avi Shlaim, Richard Silverstein, Jonathan Steele (There are other contributors such as Petra Marquardt-Bigman, Daniel Levy and Jonathan Spyer who are committed to the Jewish State but they are very much in the minority).

9. The choice of authors is in part a reflection of The Guardian newspaper’s editorial stance (see for example the way they covered the story about the FCO memorandum marginalia in February 2008). There are signs that Matt Seaton recognises the problem of antisemitism on CIF, though he is careful to avoid admitting that antisemitism is far more prevalent on CIF than other manifestations of racism (which it is). Here is his response to a question about whether the antisemitism problem will improve with the technical changes made to CIF in June 2008: "The short answer is yes. I can’t promise you that antisemitic comments and other instances of hate speech will disappear from the site overnight, but (from early June) I think you will notice a progressive improvement.".

3. **Antisemitism on CIF**

10. Both in the articles and in the ‘posts’ beneath them, CIF has antisemitic content. Some 50 recent examples are appended. Note that the advocacy of ‘one [secular] State’ is antisemitic. It is a fundamental principle of most (?) legal systems that “racism is defined by the victim”. The most widely accepted definition of antisemitism is the European Monitoring Centre one (EUMC). It is used by the UK Parliamentary Committee against Antisemitism and by the US State Department².

11. Here is an extract from the EUMC Definition:

---

1 In fact the changes have made little difference. All commenters can see an archive of comments arranged by commenter, but the archive does not (of course) include those comments that have been deleted. The one difference is that the new software allows pre-moderation of selected commenters and that facility is being used.

2 Though note that it remains a “working” definition because it has not been formally ‘adopted’ by the EUMC (now the EU Agency for Fundamental Rights). This is because the new Agency has only just appointed a Chief Executive (Morten Kjaerum from Denmark wef 1 June). The UK Parliamentary Committee against Antisemitism has suggested that the government should adopt the EUMC Definition. The government’s response has been to point out that the practice of ‘victim definition’ allows at least as wide a universe of what is offensive but to promise a review when the EUMC Definition has been ratified.
"Examples of the ways in which antisemitism manifests itself with regard to the state of Israel taking into account the overall context could include:

Denying the Jewish people their right to self-determination, e.g., by claiming that the existence of a State of Israel is a racist endeavour... applying double standards by requiring of Israel a behaviour not expected or demanded of any other nation ... Drawing comparisons of contemporary Israeli policy to that of the Nazis"

12. There are many examples of all three on CIF and in the examples appended.

4. Why It Matters

13. Does antisemitism on the Internet matter? After all, many Internet users welcome its complete absence of any editorial or peer-review control, together with the zero marginal cost of publication. Of course there will be antisemitic sites – such as ‘Jewwatch’ – but Internet users would probably claim that they are sufficiently discriminating to recognise those for what they are.

14. But on the other hand the websites of mainstream newspapers should surely not become indistinguishable from the hate sites. The Guardian sells some 350,000 copies per day (versus 620,000 for The Times and 870,000 for the Telegraph) and is undeniably a mainstream newspaper. That franchise brings responsibilities too.

15. By reason both of the articles it commissions and the posts beneath them, readers of CIF are left with the clear impression of hostility to Israeli policy. It is but a small step for that to slip into antisemitism. It is incumbent on the Editors to prevent this, but the examples which follow they are falling short. By allowing antisemitic discourse to flourish on CIF, the Guardian helps foster an atmosphere in which no discourse is considered ‘off-limits’ as regards the Jews\(^3\). It is such discourse which leads directly to the University and College Union members who at their 2008 Congress voted by 90% to 10% to instigate moves, the logical end of which is a boycott of Israeli academics. And it encourages anti-Israel activity on campus – for example “Israeli Apartheid Weeks” - which makes Jewish students afraid to wear yarmulkes on certain campuses and afraid to be overtly Jewish. And it encourages violence against Jews. (It has been claimed that virulent media anti-Zionism and one-sided presentation of news has caused violent antisemitic incidents among Muslim population in France).

16. Young people increasingly turn to the Internet for information. If websites of mainstream respected newspapers contain antisemitism, there is a risk that it simply becomes an alternative of many narratives about the world, with no opprobrium attached. Racism should not become just another alternative explanation about the world. There need to be social constraints on its expression, if living within the wider community is not to become ever more fraught for Jews.

5. CIF moderation: (i) Inadequate (ii) Relies on Victims

\(^3\) See article by Ambassador Ron Prosor, Telegraph, 10 June 2008
17. Unlike for example the BBC, CIF employs ‘post-moderation’ whereby all posts are accepted and then “Moderators” delete (or edit) the ones which breach CIF’s “Guidelines” (stop-press, see footnote 5, since 4 June some commenters are pre-moderated (we do not know how commenters are selected for pre-moderation).

18. But the Editors openly admit that they do not have as many Moderators as they need, by inviting readers to complain about posts that may infringe the guidelines. Here is an email that one poster received from a Moderator on 21 February: “Perhaps I should emphasise again that we do not have 24 hour moderation, and that moderators do not read every comment posted to the site. With the CIF community, as with many other online communities, we do in part rely on commenters alerting us to problematic comments. This is part of the offer we make to those wishing to take part in discussion on the site”.

19. The problem here is that the vast majority of Jews find CIF so objectionable that they never read it, let alone engage with it. Along with the deliberately well-hidden nature of some of the worst antisemitism and the lack of expertise of the Moderators, this has two consequences. One, the burden of spotting antisemitism is thrown onto the few Jews (along with a few supporters who are not Jewish) who consider it their duty to monitor CIF and, two, that much of the antisemitism is either missed completely or remains on the CIF site for an unacceptably long time before it is spotted.

20. And why should the victims of the antisemitism on CIF also be saddled with the responsibility of moderating it? That is surely the ultimate insult.

21. It would be preferable to achieve universal (not selective as now) pre-Moderation on CIF4. This will ensure that The Guardian has to meet the full cost of Moderation and not rely on unpaid Moderators (most of whom are – to make matters worse – also the victims of the antisemitism on CIF). In its budgetary decisions, the management of the Guardian needs to factor in the full cost of running CIF, not the costs subsidised by a small group of volunteers, acting as unpaid Moderators out of a sense of duty.

6. Government Initiatives

22. The government recognises the problem of antisemitism on the Internet and that various initiatives are in train:


23. In its ‘One Year On’ Progress Report to the All Party Inquiry into Antisemitism, the government notes (p15) that representatives of the Jewish community “will produce research evidencing the extent of antisemitism on various media websites.” Also the Society of Editors has committed to exploring “the possibility of pulling together a guide for the media on the role and responsibility of moderators.” The government has agreed to fund such a guide.

4 The Editors accept the principle of pre-moderation. Since 1 June, some commentators have their material pre-moderated. Also all comments on ‘Blogging the Qu’uran’ are pre-moderated. If there was a greater propensity to pre-moderate the comments of Jews, that would be antisemitic.
24. (from the Report) “The Rt Hon Andy Burnham Secretary of State for Culture, Media and Sport has given his full support to this initiative and said: “I wholeheartedly support the Society of Editors in their decision to draw up guidance on the subject of moderating blog and comment sites. Antisemitism and other forms of hate on the Internet is of deep concern to all right-minded people. A strong message must be sent; whilst we passionately uphold the right to freedom of speech, incitement and hatred must not and will not go unchallenged. I am glad we are forging new partnerships to tackle this issue head on.” The importance of producing a guide of this nature cannot be overstated in light of recent events where reputable newspapers allowed the publication of blatantly antisemitic comments like below, despite employing the services of a moderator.

“It is high time the entire world stand up against this genetically mutated, inbred Tribe and end their reign of Goyim-terror once and for all. Jews are not fit to breathe our air. They must be attacked wherever you see them; throw rocks at their ugly, hooked-nosed women and mentally ill children, and light up the Real ovens”.

(Scotsman March 2008).

25. (from the Report) “The Government believe the prevalence of hate on the Internet has become an increasing concern. Internet sites are being used to distribute hateful messages around the world and whilst Britain has excellent domestic equality legislation, we all know about the problem of legal jurisdiction being restricted to state borders. The Government is open to exploring better ways of bringing offenders to task. Additionally, the government has already realised, monitoring hate on the Internet can play a crucial role in the fight against terrorism. The Government will now look at the issue of antisemitism on the Internet and will host a Ministerial seminar to find ways of improving action and impact. An event with colleagues from BERR, the Home Office, CPS, ACPO and the Department for Communities and Local Government as well as MPs, experts, lawyers and department officials is to be led by the Rt Hon Margaret Hodge MBE MP, Minister at the Department for Culture, Media and Sport. More broadly, an internet hate crime working party has been created and has identified a number of issues around tackling hate crime. Not least is the difficulty in identifying whether a crime has been committed, by whom, against whom and identifying liability. The working party is particularly concerned with producing appropriate guidance to practitioners and to victims so internet hate crime can be reported and tackled, whilst avoiding duplication. Inherent in this is the need to balance freedom of speech with the need to keep people safe from harm. We hope to clarify this with good practice guidance for the range of service providers affected. This will include information and guidance for victims and witnesses. We are also concerned about the use of the internet for the purposes of radicalisation to terrorism or violent extremism and are keen to develop policy that will reduce the availability of terrorism related and radicalising material on the internet. On the 14th January 2008, the Home Secretary announced that Government would open dialogue with industry about options for tackling terrorism or violent extremist related material on the internet, and we are still at the early stages of this particular piece of work.”

7. Press Complaints Commission
26. The PCC has no locus in cases such as this – or maybe it is more accurate to say that the Commissioners believe that it has no locus:

“The Commission noted the complainant’s concern that the posting was discriminatory and that a decision had been made to leave it online, after s/he had complained to the newspaper about it. Clause 12 (Discrimination) states that ‘the press must avoid prejudicial or pejorative reference to [amongst other things] an individual’s religion’. On this occasion the Commission noted that no individual had been the subject of any prejudicial or pejorative reference. The Commission emphasised that Clause 12 was designed to protect the individual and is not applicable to groups of people. The Commission, therefore, concluded that there was no breach of the Code.”

8. **Ways in which The Guardian is inciting racial hatred**

27. The Guardian is inciting racial hatred and perpetrating antisemitism because:

(i) They expect commenters to help to ‘moderate’ (by identifying offending comments), but this task falls disproportionately on Jews, given the number of anti-Israel articles and the number of antisemitic comments these provoke.

(ii) The extent of antisemitism on CIF contravenes The Guardian’s own Community Standards: “We will not tolerate racism, sexism, homophobia or other forms of hate-speech, or content that could be interpreted as such”.

(iii) The Guardian’s Community Standards say “We recognise the difference between criticising a particular government, organisation, community or belief and attacking people on the basis of their race, religion, gender or sexual orientation” but then the Moderators do not seem to realise that talking eg about ‘apartheid’ in Israel and ‘ethnic cleansing’ is itself an attack on the basis of race (the Jewish race)

(iv) The Guardian is indifferent to the pain that CIF causes to Jewish readers. It has been claimed that virulent media anti-Zionism and one-sided presentation of news has caused violent antisemitic incidents among Muslim population in France.

(v) Through publishing a disproportionate number of antizionist articles, the Guardian knowingly promotes antisemitic discourse. This results in antisemitism in other arenas, for example, university campuses and UCU boycott motions.

(vi) The Guardian is dismissive of the possibility that some “criticism” of Israel may indeed be antisemitic, and fails to consider whether its own conduct falls within that category.

(vii) The Guardian’s moderation policies openly foster antisemitism since they appear to discriminate against commenters who oppose it. This policy itself is antisemitic since those commenters are disproportionately Jewish.

(viii) The Guardian fails to engage adequately - or at all - with concern regarding CIF’s institutional antisemitism.
APPENDIX

EXAMPLES OF ANTISEMITISM
AND BIASED MODERATION POLICY
ON GUARDIAN ‘COMMENT IS FREE’


1. 11 June

**Antisemitic**

**preemptiveresponse**

Do your own research. I couldn't careless what he said.

We were talking about the zionists deliberately stirring up hatred towards Europe's Jews in the hope that fear of attack would entice them to relocate to Palestine in an effort to out number the indigenous population.

**Do you think that the zionists deliberately tried to stir up hatred towards Europe's Jews?**


2. 11 June

**Antisemitic**

**LaRitournelle**

Hermine - why thank you! Yes re: article... thank god, but what an accurate expression of the most uber of uber-Zios...

Award the Jews the entirety of Jerusalem? Is there some sort of Ceremony that goes with that? Let me guess..... it involves grinding every last bit of evidence of Arabs in Jerusalem/Israel into the dust for ever more. Complete eradication.

No?

Now, who does that remind you of I wonder?????... Ill give you a clue: his name begins with 'H and ends in 'r... Disgusting.
3. 10 June

**Antisemitic**

PreemptiveResponse

I often wonder if in 1938 Britain hadn't decided against allowing a Jewish state in Palestine, or in the 1900's if the Turks hadn't also refused to allow a Jewish state in Palestine, whether either world war would have happened.

It shouldn't be forgotten also that it was Harold Wilson who supplied Israel with the plutonium to build its first nuclear weapons.

[http://www.mideastweb.org/thejewishstate.htm](http://www.mideastweb.org/thejewishstate.htm)


[http://www.guardian.co.uk/commentisfree/2008/jun/10/israelandthepalestinianss.middleeast?commentpage=1](http://www.guardian.co.uk/commentisfree/2008/jun/10/israelandthepalestinianss.middleeast?commentpage=1)

4. 9 June

**Antisemitic**

ShatilaGuy

Israel seems to have made it its mission to prove Hitler right.

5. 9 June

**Antisemitic**

RickB

Ziongate: "94.6% of the barrier is actually constructed of metal fence."

Wall, fence, barrier.....

Aushwitz was surrounded by barbed wire fences. Obviously that meant that those inside the camp were just fine and dandy, didn't it?

Pathetic.

6. 6 June

**Antisemitic**

Lombardi
No, Israel stole Palestine from Britain AND the Palestinians. And if America wasn't supporting Israel, then one of them would have soon taken it back. And I would have been pleased- Israel has clearly shown itself unworthy of nation status.

Denying the Holocaust in Israel is rather like denying the existance of gays in San Francisco. You might wish it wasn't there, but no amount of reasoning can disguise the fact that the evidence is right under thy nose.

Of course, if he merely interpreted the Holocaust differently, or examined the evidence, or did any of the myriad other things historians do with other events on a regular basis, why then, of course he must be a Nazi-sympathising, self-hating compulsive liar. After all, Jewish evidence isn't the same as everyone else's....

God, its enough to make a man wonder.....

7. 6 June

Antisemitic

withdrawn

I have no idea why they have that elite club but if you look at the members of the federal reserve board and the Russian oligarchs, they are all members as are Obama's main billionaire funders. My suspicion is that the Israeli state is basically a support system for it and they may even have planned since its inception. The Knesset was built with private money.

8. 5 June

Antisemitic

aftertruth
Brilliant post Darren!
But, just one question -- does it mean you are going to vote for McCain? After all he was the first to prostrate himself before the Lobby! Perhaps the way forward is for both candidates to agree before hand not to "sit the Israel test" during the campaign.
Having said that, I think you are right to be outraged. Here is a comment (on Ian William's piece, 'The Unpromised Land' [5])that I have just posted:

-----------------------------

I think Obama is basically a decent politician who is being forced into these unethical positions by political realities in the USA. The 70-100 million end-of-time Christian fundamentalists (who are busy trying to pre-empt events allegedly foretold in the Book of Revelations) have real political muscle, and they just can't be wished away. Equally, the Jewish Americans, right-wing Cubans and Venenzuelans in Florida can swing the State in a Presidential election.
Nevertheless, it is embarrassing (to say the least), to see an American Presidential candidate having to publicly "pass the Israel test" [1]; it is sad (to say the least), to witness the extent to which the USA will go to "protect" Israel when the latter doesn't give a f**K about America [2]; it is tragic to witness the USA seemingly throw one of its own "under the bus" in favour of Israel [3]!
This situation is unsustainable -- sooner rather than later, Americans will have to have an adult conversation on the need to so intimately tether their country to Israel, and what
benefits (if any ) are gained by this. It appears as though Mearsheimer-Walt may have started something [4]. In my view, out-lawing the anormally of 'Dual USA-Israeli Nationality' would go a long way in solving the problem because a 'conflict of interest' among some of the USA's policy shakers and movers is at the heart of the matter. Such a situation would not tolerated in other areas of public life!

9. 5 June

Antisemitic

WizardKing

Fantastic article!

The US political system has become far too dominated by hawkish, Zionist Jews in recent years. Something that most people don't know is that the neo-cons are overwhelmingly Jewish; William Kristol, Richard Perle, David Wurmser, to name but a few.

This relatively small band of Zionist conspirators has managed to win-over the Bush administration and its hard-core of support in the form of 30 million Judeo-Christian conservatives. All parties have Armageddon on their minds! A scary time to be alive indeed.

10. 3 June

Antisemitic

tranquill

Comment No. 1394300

June 3 0:46

GBR

Haddasahmassacre

"The IDF apologises for existing. It's called dhimmitude."

The IDF starves an entire populace of 1.3 million as well as bombing, torturing, humiliating them in the most degrading ways and stealing their land. Its called Nazism or, if you like, Zionism.

[Offensive? Unsuitable? Report this comment.]

11. Date: Fri, 30 May 2008 10:16:49 -0400

Biased Moderation policy
"Incidentally, France also happens to be the state of Bretons, Corsicans, Alsatians and a
considerable body of other peoples who originated elsewhere." But NOT the state of English or Germans. More to the point, Cz is NOT the state of expelled Germans, nor is Turkey the state of Anatolian and Pontic Greeks who left.
"Well, of course, this is not strictly true - these citizenship rules do have to accord with
 certain international norms."

Nonsense. International norms have not forced Jordan to allow citizenship to Jews. In
fact, the opposite - international norms backed the dispossession of 1.5 million Greeks,
millions of Germans, millions of south Asians. Population exchange to resolve ethnic
contlict has been commonplace. [Everything after this point removed by mods:] The
theme here contradicting international norms is your principle of no Muslim to ever be
made to compromise.
"I see no claim by the Palestinians collectively to deny the right of Israeli Jews to their
own state."

More taqiyeh. Hamas' charter is clear. Even the PA cannot bring itself to recognise Israel
as an explicitly Jewish state. Don't bother attacking me personally, for it's not my
scepticism which is determinative. It's that of the average Israeli, who would laugh at
your lies.

"However, I fail to see how this has any bearing on the question at hand. Are you
suggesting that the entire Palestinian population should suffer perpetual collective
punishment for these crimes of some 60 years ago? Are you suggesting that the right of
return of the Palestinian people should be denied on *these* grounds? Might I suggest
that your double-standards are breathtaking?"

Might I suggest that your claimed remorse for Islam's crimes against humanity is
completely sham?

Zamalek is pointing out that Islam owes Jews compensation, and that for better or worse
that compensation took place in the form of an exchange of populations of about equal
size - one million Jews fled Muslim countries, while three-quarter million Palestinians fled
Israel. (Mind you, the property exchange was not equal, otherwise the Jews should
receive property 4 times the size of Israel in compensation for that same amount they
had confiscated or were forced to abandon.)

There will be no more right of return of Palestinians to Israel than of Moors to Andalusia.
You ought to spend energy more constructively than insisting - for reasons only of pride -
on a fantasy which is only hurting the Palestinians themselves.

But then, that's the Islamic way, is it not?

---

After moderation:

KlvhGuardian
Comment No. 1385885
May 30 0:09
USA

"Incidentally, France also happens to be the state of Bretons, Corsicans, Alsatians and a
considerable body of other peoples who originated elsewhere."

But NOT the state of English or Germans. More to the point, Cz is NOT the state of
expelled Germans, nor is Turkey the state of Anatolian and Pontic Greeks who left.

"Well, of course, this is not strictly true - these citizenship rules do have to accord with
certain international norms."

Nonsense. International norms have not forced Jordan to allow citizenship to Jews. In
fact, the opposite - international norms backed the dispossession of 1.5 million Greeks,
millions of Germans, millions of south Asians. Population exchange to resolve ethnic 
conflict has been commonplace. ...
[Edited by moderator. Responses in other posts also removed]

12. 6 June

antisemitic

withdrawn

11 minutes ago
I have no idea why they have that elite club but if you look at the members of the
federal reserve board and the Russian oligarchs, they are all members as are
Obama's main billionaire funders. My suspicion is that the Israeli state is basically
a support system for it and they may even have planned since its inception. The
Knesset was built with private money.

13. 4 June

The truth about Khartoumi – IronSocks = Jeffries

Another commenter protesting abt terrorist websites is deleted

Wynne
Comment No. 139757June 4 15:49
GBR

To Khartoumi/Ironsocks
As you're calling for public denunciations of extremist, lets start with a real one, YOU.
On June 24, 2007 5:48 PM posting as Leper, YOU sent a link to the Al Qassam site,
this site has a lovely "martyr" section, CIF couldn't delete it fast enough. Was that
because of the Anti Terror Act 2006.
You are an extremist, either that or certifiable, this site has banned you more times
than any other poster in their history. Someone must like you because here you are as
obvious as ever. It could be worse Mr Jefferies, you could be teaching an unsuspecting
sixth grade in the UK instead of at the Trinity High School, Khartoum.
Wonder should I send some of your earlier material to your employers, run by a
charity isn't it, if I can get them to publicly denounce and dissociate themselves from
you.

Go on, run off crying to the moderators. Whaaa-whaaaa-weaaa. You are an extremist
that CIF chooses to still allow a registration from. Why is that, Mr Seaton, answer that
before you delete another post for this disgusting person. Remember Mr Seaton,
Everything in my post is documented and true, delete mine, delete all his but
remember I asked, why is this freak still wrecking sensible discussion on what's
supposed to be a public political forum with his should-be padded history. He doesn't
change, after Al Qassam the coppers should have been brought in. Why weren't they?
[Offensive? Unsuitable? Report this comment.]
14. 4 June

This is a serial antisemite, why hasn’t s/he been banned?

sebastiao

June 4, 2008 9:25 AM

The biggest threat to Obama is the Israel Lobby. It loves warmongering McCain; it found Hillary tolerable since she voted for the Iraq War that it adores; but Obama is an abomination to it since he flat out voted against its lovely war. So it will be cooking up subtle ways to defeat him, all sorts of ways, most of them centering no doubt on his race. Whether the US electorate can resist the pressures of the Lobby remains to be seen. One has reason to be concerned.

Offensive? Unsuitable? Email us

http://commentisfree.guardian.co.uk/petra_marquardtbigman/2008/06/the_tziping_point.html

15. 3 June

Biassed Moderation

This person objects to tranquill’s antisemitism – but his/her comment is then deleted!

Tzigeineh

Comment No. 1394787

June 3 8:46

GBR

Tranquill I have been reading your posts not just about this article but about others, and it is clear that you are a hate-driven individual. Generally people like you should be pitied, but you are an exception to the rule. You are vicious to the extreme especially when your opponents make valid points which you cannot refute. You are an agent for the most virulent form of Jew hatred, and as such you should be despised whenever you raise your head here and everywhere else.

Your latest offering 1394300 contains lies and to compound your viciousness again you compare the Israelis to Nazis. This particularly disgusts me, as the child of Holocaust survivors, and a Holocaust survivor myself. We parents were given a home in Israel after having been chased out of their home when we tried to return to it after the War. I know about life in Israel extremely well, even in my late stage of life I visit often, and I have seen with my own eyes that the Israelis are not starving Gazans. If they bomb Gaza it is because your beloved Gazans bomb them, and have been doing it constantly since 2005.

It is an indictment against this site that despicable people like you are allowed to insult and smear with impunity, and I have seen this language used many times on
Comment is Free. How can the Guardian still insist it is an anti-racist newspaper when people like you, racists and anti-Semites, are allowed to spew their hatred? I am 78 years old, so nothing you say to me in return will either anger me or make me upset. I am filled with contempt for you and your kind. If you have any intelligence do not bother to answer me at all.

Dear people in charge -if you see fit to delete this, then have the decency to please delete everything from this person which promotes his hate-filled agenda. Thank you.

16. 3 June

Nazi comparison, antisemitic

Iranquill

Comment No. 1394300

June 3 0:46

GBR

Haddasahmassacre

"The IDF apologises for existing. It's called dhimmitude."

The IDF starves an entire populace of 1.3 million as well as bombing, torturing, humiliating them in the most degrading ways and stealing their land. Its called Nazism or, if you like, Zionism.

17. 23 May

HE IS LYING ABOUT THE CHIEF RABBI AND BOARD OF DEPUTIES. HE IS JEWBAITING. HE FAILS TO PROVIDE A SOURCE.

Donoevil

Comment No. 1171094

May 23 13:57

TUR

"So one lot of Arabs (Christians) massacred another lot of Arabs (Muslims), in response to previous Arab on Arab massacre, which was a response to a previous massacre etc etc"
And yet somehow only the Jews are to blame?
How does that work then?"

Ah Geary, Master of nuanced opinion on CiF issues related to Israel/Palestine. Did you perchance, bother to read the blog? Do you have an awareness of recent middle eastern history as is often your much vaunted claim?

You would then be able to answer your own questions. The Israelis (not the Jews, enough of the histrionics, please) were to blame as the Christian Phalangists were their proxy militia. It was the Phalangists who were the IDF agents on the ground and without the IDF's complicity and permission, they would never been able to commit such atrocity. The IDF therefore is jointly responsible.

Maybe you should've paid attention to the views of the British Jewish community at that time. The Chief Rabbi (Lord Jacobovitz) was incensed with the behaviour of the IDF and the Israeli government, as was the Board of Deputies.

[Offensive? Unsuitable? Report this comment.]

BIAS AGAINST PRO ISRAEL COMMENTER

EinDavar was banned without reason or warning. EinDavar evidenced to the Mods the instances where they have warned people first, and, on one occasion, the moderator actually says that this is their policy. On the latest occasion, they imply that they will reinstate if the user agrees to abide by the talk policy. EinDavar was never afforded the equivalent. EinDavar complained to Rusbridger himself.

18. 19 May

Commenter admits posting antisemitic forgery – failure of Moderation

For record, before this thread closes Bernadotte has admitted that the ‘open letter’ from Jews that he posted above

http://commentisfree.guardian.co.uk/richard_silverstein/2008/05/president_without_shame.html#comment-1355117

is an antisemitic forgery

His admission is here:

http://commentisfree.guardian.co.uk/setsf Freedman/2008/05/the_continuing_catastrophe.html#comment-1358572

Here is the anti-Semitic forged letter

It was posted on two threads. On the earlier thread it was still there nine days after it was posted and six days after deletion was requested.

http://www.guardian.co.uk/commentisfree/2008/may/15/israelandthepalestinians. usa

colindale
Most of us were brought up in families where to be a Zionist was natural, and obvious. We were Jewish, we, or our relations in continental Europe had been slaughtered in Belsen or gassed at Birkenau. A few years after the end of the war, with six million of us dead - a Jewish homeland in our lifetime was an unbelievable achievement. The culmination of Herzl's dream and a biblical promise from across the centuries had become reality! How could we not be moved? How could we not be proud? How could we not support that fledgling state with our money, our work and our children? At last we would become citizens of our own state. A light unto the Nations and a return to Zion. Our own army on our own soil, with our own flag, our own language and a common bond that would be as strong as our commitment and our faith. And, initially, that is what it was. Until, on the pretext of security, we started to kill those who opposed us.

And as we continued the gratuitous killing and the control of an alleged lesser breed, it made us feel invincible. Were we not God's chosen people? And with the killing came the corruption and the quest for power. We were supported unquestioningly by our brethren around the world as we convinced them that we had to kill in order to survive. And after all, the killing (let us whisper) was of Arabs - a genetically inferior people who deserved nothing. A people of minimal mental ability. We Jews deserved whatever we took - for, after all, had our families in Europe not been liquidated by the Nazis? We were justified in not only defending our tiny state on the eastern Mediterranean but in killing indiscriminately, without mercy - with no regard for the justice and humanity as written within our faith, now thrown to the winds, as we killed and bombed and assassinated our 'enemy' who had had the chutzpah to have built homes on the land which was given to us by God, over two thousand years previously.

And as we killed and threw thousands into prison, we sent envoys around the world to persuade our 'family' in the Diaspora to give us ever more money to secure our tiny state which was under threat. And they gave unquestioningly, because it was for Israel! Our land! Their land! How proud we all were! If there was killing of innocent women and children - it must be necessary and after all they were merely Arabs. We told our children that to kill in self-defence, or imaginary self-defence, was legitimate. It was our God given right. Were there not dozens of Arab countries who could take in their Muslim brethren, but refused to do so? That alone gave us the right to kill our enemy like vermin. To refuse them access to food or medical supplies or work or water or land or freedom.

But, in the midst of the killing, there were those of us - mainly in Israel itself - who began to recognise that the indiscriminate killing could not be justified - . We saw that Palestinian women were, in fact, little different from our own women, our own mothers and sisters and daughters. They had human rights just as we had. They had human needs like us. We started to appreciate that, in fact, we - the oppressed, had become the oppressor and, without our noticing, our little state had become one with a corrupt political machine where our politicians enriched them selves at the expense of the citizens - at the expense of the Diaspora and at the expense of the Palestinians. We saw that to kill was not a brave act. To deny aid to a pregnant woman was not brave. That to slaughter stone-throwing children was not brave. However our voice was a minority. Then over the years, we slowly gathered support from more Jews who were sickened by the killing and the propaganda - sickened by the poverty and misery of the Palestinians. We saw
that it was a crime against humanity. We started to gather support from thinking Jews, both within Israel and without. And our voice came to be heard.

Now the criticism that was initially a stream, has now become a flood. Accusations of anti-Semitism and self-hating, came to be seen as a defence that was no longer credible. And those of us to whom Israeli policies towards non-Jews, became ever more repugnant. And we stood up and put our names to the criticism and withstood the derision of those whose indiscriminate support of Israeli politicians remained strong and undiminished notwithstanding the evidence that they treat with such contempt. And Israel remains a killing state bolstered and supported by billions of US dollars authorised by millions of evangelical Christians who believe implicitly in the second coming of Christ when all Jews return to Israel. And Israel sniggers behind its hand as, with a straight face, she welcomes that support which allows her to continue the killing of innocents and the subjugation of an entire people.

Are you sure that this is a time to celebrate?

19. 18 May

**Antisemitic**

clozen

Comment No. 1358260

May 18 19:37

GBR

I appreciate you direction but I do regard the following descriptive script of -

“They have mercifully ‘chosen’ to ignore the increasing pile of empty tiny Arab sandals because it might remind them of a holocaust”, as obviously non racist but simply a painful and stark reality check.

We all know policing the police can be recursively destructive and may end in total blindness.

[Offensive? Unsuitable? Report this comment.]

20. 15 May

**ANTISEMITIC**

stevejones123

Comment No. 1351409

May 15 18:01
Doesn't say much for Ofcom does it, but as Ally says, if Ofcom had to criticize programs for being a pile of shit there would be little left.

The BBC did an equivalent program on Christian extremists in the US. They sent Paul Theroux around to take the piss out of them and a marvellous job he did. Nobody considered that it was a wakeup call of the cancer in the middle of western civilization.

And how about Undercover Synagogue, in which we have a third of the program consisting of DVDs from the nuttier of the Israeli sects, and hidden microphone conversations of Jewish teachers and businessmen talking about the extent of Ersatz Israel?

As for attacking the kufrs, I remember as an adolescent listening to a serious discussion between various Methodist Evangelicals on whether they could co-operate with secular charities or whether that would be diluting the message.

21. 15 May

Guardian commissions article from member of Hamas - a proscribed terrorist organisation

http://commentisfree.guardian.co.uk/bassem_naeem/2008/05/hamas_condemns_the_holocaust.html

22. 13 May

Antisemitic

mojuham

Comment No. 1345082

May 13 14:09

GBR

"the driving force behind rising Jew-hatred in Egypt in the 1930s, culminating in riots in Alexandria in 1945 in which 400 were injured and much damage done to Jewish property."

Maybe a jew can explain why jews are seemingly despised the world over? Well that’s just an legitimate observation I take from history and the present.

I’ve always found them to be ok but ‘superior’ in outlook.

But, what would the totally fair and honest jewess Judge Judy made of the occupation.

I feel her fair and legal law mind would give a final verdict of unlawful possession coupled with too much force being used against the Palestinian woman and children.

23. 10 May

antisemitic

JaffaLemon
>> Now, however, he feels “no need to justify myself whatsoever. Israel needs an army, plain and simple, which is why [it was incumbent on me] to serve”. <<

Can Alex Stein explain why it is incumbent on jackbooted soldiery to shoot Palestinian children in the defence of homeland?


[Offensive? Unsuitable? Report this comment.]

24. 21 April

**Anti-Semitic**

criticalthinker

Comment No. 1288670

April 21 14:32

USA

Re: Wulfstan Comment No. 1288627 April 21 14:20

"The only difference between Democrats and Republicans today is their position on gay marriage and abortion. They are all corporate lackeys. The Pentagon and the Federal Reserve control America with the Senate a mere debating society."

-------------------------------------------

Spot on!

It never ceases to amaze me at the American peoples IGNORANCE as to who the Federal Reserve is and the power that a bunch of Jewish foreign bankers have over them!

Those bankers are able to LEGALLY steal American peoples savings by reducing the value of those savings everytime they order money printed out of thin air with no collateral!

[Offensive? Unsuitable? Report this comment.]

25. 8 April
CHECK OUT THE LINK, IT IS DEEPLY ANTISEMITIC – it is still there 2 months later! – I have not complained about it, in order to show that Moderation is Failing

yourstruly

Comment No. 1254060

April 7 16:41
GBR

To get you started http://www.scribd.com/doc/33236/Russian-Mafia

[Offensive? Unsuitable? Report this comment.]
Recommend?

26. 6 April

THIS WAS CUT AND PASTED FROM AN ANTISEMITIC WEBSITE. ISRAEL SHAHAK IS A KNOWN ANTISEMITE.

tranquil

Comment No. 1251707

April 6 17:26
GBR
Armaros

"I have no more sympathy for the Ummah than I have for hypocrites like Soumaya who would endorse killers of Muslims if it is a stick to beat the West with."

Quite we Muslim know you hate our guts. Which is why we take your criticisms of Summaya someone who does care about Muslims alot with exactly zero credibility.

So what do you make of the zionist hypocrites who collaborated with noted anti-semites?

"To that end he (Theodore Herzl) approached the Tsar Nicolas II, or more accurately, his anti-semitic chief of the secret police and discussed ways of having Jews drop out of the Russian revolutionary movements (and indeed out of Russia altogether) and go to Palestine. Their meeting was as famous as any embarrassment to the zionists can be. That is not very famous at all except among anti-zionists and zionists who just won't tell. Theodor Herzl approached none other than Count Von Plehve, the author of the worst pogroms in Russia - the pogroms of Kishinev with the following proposition:

"Help me to reach the land [Palestine] sooner and the revolt [against Czarist rule] will end." Von Plehve agreed, and he undertook to finance the Zionist movement. He was later to complain to Herzl: "The Jews have been joining the revolutionary parties. We
were sympathetic to your Zionist movement as long as it worked toward emigration. You don't have to justify the movement to me. You are preaching to a convert." Herzl and Weizmann offered to help guarantee Czarist interests in Palestine and to rid Eastern Europe and Russia of those "noxious and subversive Anarcho-Bolshevik Jews".

Even after Israel was established the collaboration of zionists with anti-semites continued. Here's Israel Shahak's take, worth quoting at length

In fact, close relations have always existed between Zionists and antisemites: exactly like some of the European conservatives, the Zionists thought they could ignore the 'demonic' character of antisemitism and use the antisemites for their own purposes. Many examples of such alliances are well known. Herzl allied himself with the notorious Count von Plehve, the antisemitic minister of Tsar Nicholas II; Jabotinsky made a pact with Petlyura, the reactionary Ukrainian leader whose forces massacred some 100,000 Jews in 1918-21; Ben-Gurion's allies among the French extreme right during the Algerian war included some notorious antisemites who were, however, careful to explain that they were only against the Jews in France, not in Israel.

Perhaps the most shocking example of this type is the delight with which some Zionist leaders in Germany welcomed Hitler's rise to power, because they shared his belief in the primacy of 'race' and his hostility to the assimilation of Jews among 'Aryans'. They congratulated Hitler on his triumph over the common enemy - the forces of liberalism. Dr Joachim Prinz, a Zionist rabbi who subsequently emigrated to the USA, where he rose to be vice-chairman of the World Jewish Congress and a leading light in the World Zionist Organization (as well as a great friend of Golda Meir), published in 1934 a special book, Wir Juden (We, Jews), to celebrate Hitler's so-called German Revolution and the defeat of liberalism:

The meaning of the German Revolution for the German nation will eventually be clear to those who have created it and formed its image. Its meaning for us must be set forth here: the fortunes of liberalism are lost. The only form of political life which has helped Jewish assimilation is sunk.

The victory of Nazism rules out assimilation and mixed marriages as an option for Jews. 'We are not unhappy about this,' said Dr Prinz. In the fact that Jews are being forced to identify themselves as Jews, he sees 'the fulfillment of our desires'. And further:

We want assimilation to be replaced by a new law: the declaration of belonging to the Jewish nation and Jewish race. A state built upon the principle of the purity of nation and race can only honored and respected by a Jew who declares his belonging to his own kind. Having so declared himself, he will never be capable of faulty loyalty towards a state. The state cannot want other Jews but such as declare themselves as belonging to their nation. It will not want Jewish flatterers and crawlers. It must demand of us faith and loyalty to our own interest. For only he who honors his own breed and his own blood can have an attitude of honor towards the national will of other nations.

Will you now condemn these zionists?
[Offensive? Unsuitable? Report this comment.]

27. 4 April

ANTISEMITIC

donho199

Comment No. 1248682

April 4 20:13
GBR

the problem with Jews arent because of they only worth 3% of the City population. The problem is because they are Jews.
[Offensive? Unsuitable? Report this comment.]
Recommend?

28. 26 March

ANTISEMITIC

materialman

Comment No. 1227139

March 26 21:32
GBR

Tony Greenstein informs us that Israel is a racist state established by a process of violent ethnic-cleansing: the JNF is an arm of the Greater Israel Movement and is effectively a state sponsored organisation to maintain the racial/tribal character of Israel by a process of systematic dispossession of, and discrimination against, non-Jews: the British Royal family have a history of involvement with the worst aspects of imperialism etc. etc.

This is hardly news and anyone who cares to keep themselves informed about the world we live in will know all this already. Those who either don't care, or who tailor their perception of reality to fit their preconceived prejudices or their racial/religious/political affiliations, will not want to know or will simply repeat their mantras (the equivalent of sticking their fingers in their ears and going "la-la-la").
What was useful were the references which Mr Greenstein provided to back up the factual and historical points he makes and I applaud his attention to detail in this respect.

Israel is not just a racist state, comparable in its ideology, hypocrisy and modus operandi to Apartheid South Africa, but also a tool of western economic and geopolitical strategic interests in the Middle-East. The fact that the tail (Israel and its active support lobby in Europe and, especially, the USA) sometimes seems to wag the dog adds an extra, and extremely dangerous, twist to this sorry tale of naked power riding roughshod over principles and morality. However, as I think Chomsky once said - there is no more morality in the practice of international relations today than there was in the days of Genghis Khan!

The other thing that gets trampled into dust in this saga is any notion that the world is somehow regulated, or the actions of the rich and powerful moderated in any way, by something called "International Law". The sooner people understand that there is, in reality, no such thing as International Law and that the institutions that supposedly uphold and enforce this monstrous fiction are hollow and mere fronts to disguise the exercise of economic, military and political power in pursuit of narrow self-interest, then the sooner we can begin to deal with the real problems facing the world.

If International Law (presumably with the UN Charter at its heart) was a reality then there would have been no invasion of Iraq, no NATO assault on Yugoslavia, no invasion of Grenada, Israel would be subject to a mandatory UN arms embargo and blue helmeted troops would be preparing to intervene to drive Israel out of the territories it has conquered since the partition of Palestine. The fact that so-called International Law is only ever enforced against the weak or against those who resist and oppose the rich and powerful shows this shameful fiction for what it is. Of course this fictional legal system does occasionally sweep up a nasty little crook and dole out a punishment (the odd racist murderer from Rwanda for example) but the only head of state ever hauled before these "courts" (Miloševitch) was only kidnapped from Serbia and transported (illegally) to the Hague because he had the temerity to defy US demands and ultimata. If he had merely played his viciously nationalist cards in ways that did not conflict with US (and Western European) strategic plans for the Balkans, just as equally murderous post-Soviet dictators in Central Asia have done, then he would have been allowed to remain in office or at least retire in comfort. rather than being carted off to the Hague with a bag over his head.

Israel is no more likely to face effective action under International Law than Bush or Blair are likely to be tried for the crime of aggression (for which, it is worth remembering, the Nazi leadership was tried at Nuremburg).

The comparison made by some contributors on the parallels between Israel and Nazi Germany are going over well worn ground. Many people, myself included, have previously made the obvious point that that the difference between the modern Israeli state (and their zionist supporters and apologists) and Nazi Germany is one of degree only. Their basic rationale and the "logic" by which they justify their murderous racism is virtually identical. The fact that Israel has not yet resorted to mass physical
extermination of the Palestinians does not alter that fact that Nazism and Zionism are two sides of the same coin - both justify the dispossession, violent enforced migration, degradation and brutal dehumanisation of "others" because of their racial/tribal origins and allocate rights to citizenship and equal participation in society and the state on the same criteria. The fact that so many (though clearly not all) apparently reasonable, humane and intelligent Jews around the world are able to close their eyes to this self-evident truth may be a sad reflection on the capacity of human beings to blind themselves to unpleasant reality.

[Offensive? Unsuitable? Report this comment.]

29. 26 March

**ANTISEMITIC**

**ProfessorKSIAI**

Comment No. 1226723

March 26 18:43

Sir..........this dinner is at the behest of the incumbent of No. 10 and his party the so called "friends of Israel" brigade who turn a blind eye to all and any act of violence when it suits. The Royals just play along and grit their teeth. I'll be surprised if Philly doesn't show a glimpse of some of his true feelings.

This is a phoney friendship and if only the real face of Europe was allowed to show itself without the mask then it will be back to the days of old Europe for them.

So they sit round the tables and indulge themselves and think that everybody likes them, good. In this day and age I'm afraid money drowns out international morality, justice and peace and buys you a seat with the top poodles and dogs.

30. 25 March

**RACIST and ANTISEMITIC**

**FromMe2U**

Comment No. 1224005

March 25 17:48

GBR

It is remarkable how on these Israel/Palestine threads how aggressive the posters seemingly defending Israel's and perhaps Zionist behaviour are.
I am also appalled by the lack of knowledge of the history of Palestine/Israel over the last 150 years they demonstrate— that is unless they are knowingly propagating an IDF type of propaganda.

I've seen people posting that nobody lived in Palestine before the Zionists started to settle, as well as the tripe about 1948 when from any glance, the combined military strength of the Arab countries was comparatively poor compared with the well armed and trained Zionist militias and Ben Gurion was using terror (as per Jacobin) as a weapon to frighten off the indigenous peoples.

*Trollope's "The Way We Live Now", the story of an Augustus Melmotte, a European-born City Financier, is as relevant today as it was then, perhaps watching 'the City' ans 'Wall Street' even more so. Perhpas they'll be a few Melmottes dining at Windsor Castle on April 7th, where else should they be but to ingratiate themselves with Royalty, Hyancith Bucket would be there too.*

But Israel is a country born of terrorism, that behaves like a slum landlord towards the Palestinians, like a 'family from hell' on a sink council estate towards it's neighbours and from the french - 'farts higher than its arse'.

No wonder people are, as some above would say, 'anti-semetic' whereas in reality they displaying good taste.

---

31. March 25

**ANTISEMITIC**

Berchmans

Comment No. 1222860

March 25 11:41

GBR

GEARY

#

##How about an article describing how Pakistan or Saudi descriminate .. Greek or the Chinese Constitutions descriminate But no. It's got to be Israel.##

. .

I have read CIF for 2 years I have NEVER EVER seen Pakistan or Saudi or China defended. NOT ONCE. Very few ( Martin Smith) posters defend our wars.

Yet as sure as day follows night, a dozen posts magically appear to defend the racist and brutal ISRAEL. every article.

B
This commenter sees the 'overrepresentation' of Jews on the Federal Reserve Board as a 'Jewish conspiracy'
No idea, how much does it cost for a Nobel Prize these days, more than a peerage?

Do you assume that all Americans have the same chance of becoming a Fed Governor, or do you think that they might need at least a first degree in an economics-related subject?

You are promoting dangerous racial stereotypes there. That Jews are interested in money (economics) more than others or that they are more intelligent than non Jews. My figure were based on the fact that Jews make up 2% of the American population and that they shouldn't be treated differently from others.

[Offensive? Unsuitable? Report this comment.]

em2b

Comment No. 1220418

March 24 2:11
GBR

"atod
You listed above the members of the Federal Reserve Board
Why did you include Alan Greenspan? He is not a member of the Board
In any case the policymaking body is not the Board. It is the Federal Open Markets Committee, whose composition is as follows:
Ben S. Bernanke, Board of Governors, Chairman
Timothy F. Geithner, New York, Vice Chairman
Richard W. Fisher, Dallas
Donald L. Kohn, Board of Governors
Randall S. Kroszner, Board of Governors
Frederic S. Mishkin, Board of Governors
Sandra Pianalto, Cleveland
Charles I. Plosser, Philadelphia
Gary H. Stern, Minneapolis
Kevin M. Warsh, Board of Governors"
Would you like to tell us "what these chaps have in common" please?
As well as what the members of your list have in common - as I have already asked?

They all appear to be Jewish. Aren't you proud?

You seem happy to name all terrorists as Moslems or Arabs but unhappy to do likewise with Jews. Or is stating facts about moselms OK but not jews?

The Iraq war was not solely but largely planned and promoted by zionist jews to serve Israel. This is an incontestible fact.

Amaros
"I see you unwrapped your nose measuring device you got for last Christmas. What a nice German antique it is isn't it.
But does it really work?"

Oh boo hoo hoo - *yes the neocons in their palaces are just like Germanys jews- cue the f$vcking violin music*

"Did you get it on EBay and did you pay for it with Hamas welfare?
What a joke -israel is the biggest welfare recipient on the planet
[Offensive? Unsuitable? Report this comment.]

**33. 23 and 24 March**

**THESE COMMENTS ARE ANTISEMITIC**

atod

Comment No. 1220032

March 23 20:07
GBR

When you have bankers that brazenly openly warmounger, and neocon warmoungers that become bankers (wolfowitz - World Bank?) then we have reached an UNacceptable point of elitist opportunism and abuse.

************

They've been doing that for a long time. Did you know the make up of the American Federal Reserve Board, the most influential people in the global economy ?

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Federal_Reserve#The_Federal_Reserve_Banks_and_the_member_banks

Alan Greenspan, Previous chairman
Ben Bernanke, Chairman
Donald Kohn, Vice-Chairman
Frederic Mishkin
Randall Kroszner

What do these chaps have in common?
The fifth member Kevin Warsh is different, but his father in law fits into the other
group extremely well. His name is Ronald Lauder, heir to the Estee Lauder fortune. He
has a list of credentials you wouldn't believe.


Kevin Warsh.

atod

Comment No. 1220326

March 24 0:40

GBR

I included Mr Greenspan because he was the previous chairman of the board as you
know very well. What these people have in common is that all have the same ethnicity
apart from Kevin Warsh whose appointment was criticised for lack of experience. His
wife and father in law fit in with the other four. I calculate the odds against chance of
that happening are over 300 million to one. 15 billion to one if you include Mr
Greenspan. Isn't that a truly remarkable number?

Mr Geithner and the members of the board represent the permanent members of the
open markets committee. The NY Fed controls the day to day operations of the open
markets desk. Incidentally I wrote the wikipedia page for that in case you think I
looked it up just now. Mr Geithner's wife and father in law have the same ethnicity as
the members of the federal reserve board.

If you were thinking I was a BNP kind of dood, you would be wrong. I stopped reading
the Guardian twenty years ago because it was becoming a right wing petit bourgeois
style sheet. Oliver Kamm and his ilk only serve to reinforce that view. I don't really
care. The BNP are just moronic racist numpties who are completely infiltrated by the
usual suspects.

34. 23 March

antisemilic

em2b
Comment No. 1218782

March 23 3:18
GBR

What have you got against Jesus? Don't you agree Chabad Lubavitch is a pernicious cult?

"Like dung-beetles in the dark, these vermin only come out at weekends, when Moderators are few and far between."

As opposed to the dung beetles who sit in the dark all day on Saturdays cos their stupid backward religion won't allow them to switch on a light?

"But stay, we have xxxx on cue to accuse someone on the thread of anti-semitism. As if on cue:"

"he clearly fails to see the irony of his own position. Moderators? Still maintaining your appeals for censorship when it suits you Saucy? Last time I looked you were hurling Islamophobic abuse on CiF when it suited your agenda."

Xxxxxx is a paranoid deranged zioturd with a sideline in moslem-bashing. Unable to answer or debate he resorts the favourite zionist trick of banning people. He has some bizarre needy oedipal relationship with the moderators - he's probably on the toilet now screaming "Georgina! Georgina! help! its a big one Georgina! why wont you help? Georgina!!"

And no one could accuse HP of dual loyalties- look at the countless articles he's written defending his homeland the UK and how he barely bothers to mention that "sh*tty little country" Israel. His loyalties arent in question.

"Is this your form of political activism? It's very negative."

The point is it works- scream "anti-semitism" and the moderators cant obey fast enough

[Offensive? Unsuitable? Report this comment.]

35. 22 March

Antisemitic

em2b

March 22, 2008 8:49 PM

Thanks X. After what you said about and to Jesus your assessment of other people is pretty worthless. In fact dont "pious" jews say yimach shemo (may the name of the wicked rot) after his name as they do Haman?
Had a nice time with your Purim cannibalism X? Eating Hamam's ears. What a civilised religion.

Offensive? Unsuitable? Email us

36. 22 March

Antisemitic

bill2

Comment No. 1217879

March 22 15:18

GBR

Germanlady

1217212

The German Nazi era was beaten 62 years ago.

The beating of the Zionist Nazi era is work in progress.

37. 22 March

Antisemitic

em2b

Comment No. 1218543

March 22 22:27

GBR

atod

The division of the middle east into tiny weak statelets is the long term goal of Zionists - they are talking of a Kurdish state, a berber state and a coptic state.

This is a translation of Oded Yinon "A Strategy for Israel in the Nineteen Eighties" written in 1982!!! The section on Iraq is chilling:

"Egypt is divided and torn apart into many foci of authority. If Egypt falls apart, countries like Libya, Sudan or even the more distant states will not continue to exist in their present form and will join the downfall and dissolution of Egypt. The vision of a Christian Coptic State in Upper Egypt alongside a number of weak states with very localized power and without a centralized government as to date, is the key to a historical development which was only set back by the peace agreement but which seems inevitable in the long run."
The Western front, which on the surface appears more problematic, is in fact less complicated than the Eastern front, in which most of the events that make the headlines have been taking place recently. Lebanon’s total dissolution into five provinces serves as a precedent for the entire Arab world including Egypt, Syria, Iraq and the Arabian peninsula and is already following that track. The dissolution of Syria and Iraq later on into ethnically or religiously unique areas such as in Lebanon, is Israel’s primary target on the Eastern front in the long run, while the dissolution of the military power of those states serves as the primary short term target. Syria will fall apart, in accordance with its ethnic and religious structure, into several states such as in present day Lebanon, so that there will be a Shi’ite Alawi state along its coast, a Sunni state in the Aleppo area, another Sunni state in Damascus hostile to its northern neighbor, and the Druzes who will set up a state, maybe even in our Golan, and certainly in the Hauran and in northern Jordan. This state of affairs will be the guarantee for peace and security in the area in the long run, and that aim is already within our reach today.14

Iraq, rich in oil on the one hand and internally torn on the other, is guaranteed as a candidate for Israel’s targets. Its dissolution is even more important for us than that of Syria. Iraq is stronger than Syria. In the short run it is Iraqi power which constitutes the greatest threat to Israel. An Iraqi-Iranian war will tear Iraq apart and cause its downfall at home even before it is able to organize a struggle on a wide front against us. Every kind of inter-Arab confrontation will assist us in the short run and will shorten the way to the more important aim of breaking up Iraq into denominations as in Syria and in Lebanon. In Iraq, a division into provinces along ethnic/religious lines as in Syria during Ottoman times is possible. So, three (or more) states will exist around the three major cities: Basra, Baghdad and Mosul, and Shi’ite areas in the south will separate from the Sunni and Kurdish north. It is possible that the present Iranian-Iraqi confrontation will deepen this polarization.15

The entire Arabian peninsula is a natural candidate for dissolution due to internal and external pressures, and the matter is inevitable especially in Saudi Arabia. Regardless of whether its economic might based on oil remains intact or whether it is diminished in the long run, the internal rifts and breakdowns are a clear and natural development in light of the present political structure.”

http://theunjustmedia.com/the%20zionist_plan_for_the_middle_east.htm

38. 22 March

Anti-Semitic - cut/paste from an AS Website

em2b

March 22, 2008 7:50 PM

What the Rabbis wont tell you about Purim

In 1992 Moshe Kohn was mailed a pamphlet in Israel. It’s message was, he says,

“Now that we Jews are again enjoying national sovereignty in our homeland, we at long last again have the opportunity – and the
duty -- to fulfill the Biblical commandment to exterminate Amalek. Moreover, only after we have done so will God's Kingdom prevail over all creation. And who exactly is today's Amalek? According to our pamphleteer, it is 'the Palestinians.’” [KOHN, M., 3-27-92]

Jewish religious injunctions to mass slaughter are even part of traditional yearly Purim commemorations, particularly on Shabbat Zachor ("the Saturday of Remembrance") -- "the Sabbath on which Jews are commanded to obliterate the enemy of Amalek, the arch enemy of the Jewish people." [FEILER, p. 14] In the wake of the mass murder of Arabs at prayer by Baruch Goldstein, "some Jews," noted the Jewish Bulletin, "say Goldstein was inspired by Purim passages that condone wanton killing." [KATZ, p. 1] Such passages from the biblical Book of Esther celebrate how Jews rose up to kill thousands of Persians who plotted against them, recited twice by observant Jews during Purim. "The tone [of these passages] is not self-defense," complains Rivkah Walton, "but of slaughter, slaughter, slaughter." [KATZ, p. 1]

"Objections to the Purim passages don't stop there, however," notes the Jewish Bulletin, "some people oppose the way biblical citations in Exodus (17:8-18) and Deuteronomy (25:17-19) are read on Shabbat Zachor before Purim. These call for the annihilation of the descendants of Amalek, the biblical enemy of the Israelites." [KATZ, p 1] Another Jewish commentator, Ismar Elbogen, noted the traditional emotional climate of such public Purim recitals:

"Often the reading of the scroll [of Esther], was accompanied by customs intended to release the overwhelming feelings of joy, and these not infrequently took on wild form ... The noisy disturbances have been eliminated in every civilized country.” [ELBOGEN, p. 110]

In this Amalek context, what are we to make of the words of Philip Graubart in a 1996 issue of the Jewish Exponent?

"Baruch Goldstein examined the story of Esther and the biblical passages regarding Amalek and discovered it was permissible to murder 40 Muslims at prayer. And we all know in Judaism's vast corpus of sacred writings, there are a few other texts and ideas that, in the wrong hands, could lead to further atrocities.... Only Jews passionately committed to Jewish texts can fall victim to Judaism's dark side. Only Jews who absolutely revere the Torah as God's word could accept the biblical injunction to slaughter Amalek as a call to arms, or take God's genocidal commandments to Joshua to be currently relevant ... [but] I'm not afraid of passion, I'm terrified of the absence of passion in my own Jewish culture ... On a day to day basis I feel a lot more threatened by apathy than by zealotry. And so do most rabbis.” [GRAUBART, p. 5]

Offensive? Unsuitable? Email us
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Comment No. 1216779
March 21 22:14
GBR

McLefty

"Germany also invests much (wasted) time in the Palestinian territories where its funds disappear into the pockets of criminals - like Arafat who took billions to his grave."

Quite and when the Palestinians have an election and use the chance to get rid of the corrupt venal PLO who has been robbing it for 40 years the zionists put them in a giant concentration camp and starve them - the zionists are the inheritors of the third reich - in their philosophy their calling for shoahs everything.

The new Aushwitz is Gaza - the fact Merkel didn't mention this of visit there is a grave stain on her. She ignored the Palestinians the true victims of the holocaust.

Germany has learned from Nazism - to be tolerant - the zionists have learned from it to be Nazis.

"They (the Palestinians) will bring upon themselves a bigger holocaust because we will use all our might to defend ourselves" (Matan Vilnai, Israeli Deputy Defence Minister, 29 February 2008)

It is clear beyond any doubt that the Israeli Deputy Defence Minister was far from being reluctant to equate Israel with Nazi Germany when revealing the genocidal future awaiting the Palestinian people, yet, for some reason, this is precisely what Western media outlets refrain from doing. In spite of the facts that are right in front of our eyes, in spite of the starvation in Gaza, in spite of an Israeli official admitting genocidal inclinations against the Palestinians, in spite of the mounting carnage and death, we are still afraid to admit that Gaza is a concentration camp and it is on the verge of becoming a deadly one. For some peculiar reason, many of us have yet to accept that as far as evil is concerned, Israel is the world champion in mercilessness and vengeance.

I would argue as forcefully as I can that if the Palestinians are indeed the last victims of Hitler, then the holocaust and its meaning do belong to them more than anyone else.

Bearing all that in mind, equating Gaza with Auschwitz is the right and only way forwards.


http://peacepalestine.blogspot.com/2008/02/gilad-atzmon-send-them-to-gaza-gimmicks.html

Jews and the Gaza holocaust
http://www.palestine-info.co.uk/En/default.aspx?xyz=U6Qa7k%28cOd87MDl46m9rUxJEpMO%28i1s7QMI52sW%2FxY1vZm9U

35
The Gaza Holocaust museum
http://www.islamonline.net/Arabic/in_depth/GazaHolocaustMuseum/index.shtml

[Offensive? Unsuitable? Report this comment.]
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Good article Seth - seems many Jews have adopted Eli Weisals unChristian words “Every Jew, somewhere in his being, should set apart a zone of hate -- healthy, virile hate -- for what the German personifies and for what persists in the German. To do otherwise would be a betrayal of the dead.” If only Jews could be more Christian.

Clearly its a tense situation-maybe Frau Merkel could diffuse things with a little joke you know something like “hey everyone here we have me on German addressing a huge crowd of jews who are in reverent silence. Its like being back at Aushwitz!” or “She could walk up to the microphone and scream orders in German “Schnell Schnell walk faster” this would again show the wonderful Jewish sense of humour with the added bonus of showing Germans have a sense of humour.

What is bizarre is that the Germans while eschewing their Nazi past back Israel so strongly - they should be vehemently opposed to an expansionist racial state based on the notion of a chosen master race given land by God where non-members of the chosen race are treated as lesser beings.

Maybe the Germans havent changed, Israel uber alles!

[Offensive? Unsuitable? Report this comment.]
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Comment No. 1216805

March 21 22:35
GBR

**Two words: Zyklon B**

[Offensive? Unsuitable? Report this comment,]
Recommend?

**OFFENSIVE AND ANTISEMITIC**

42. 21 March

**Antisemitic**
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Comment No. 1216885

March 21 23:55

GBR

Germanlady

No Nazis? What is Israel if not National Socialist?

The parallels are stark and obvious: subjugation, mistreatment, prison camps, genocide.

Lebensraum, untermenschen etc.

[Offensive? Unsuitable? Report this comment,]
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mirrortobigots

Comment No. 1206018

March 17 19:59

GBR

The afterlife and the fact that all humans will be accountable for their deeds is the noblest idea. One only has to look at people who don't believe in the afterlife such as the Jews and how they act: killing, stealing, robbing, cheating, defrauding and lying since they believe there is no day of judgement for them to answer for their actions.
We should strive for justice on earth - but perfect justice is impossible. The day of judgement means all people will have to answer for their deeds and injustices that weren’t resolved in this world will be in the next. The righteous shall have their reward. The evil theirs. And they won’t be equal.

44. 17 March

**Antisemitic**

**mirrortobigots**

Comment No. 1206069  
March 17 20:25

GBR

Is a delusional belief in the afterlife a reason why some fanatical jews are so keen to experience it and take as many innocent lives with them? Yes, I think so.

Why not an article by a Christian about such things? Or is the Guardian becoming a Jewish only paper?

45. 17 March

**Antisemitic**

**mirrortobigots**

Comment No. 1206093  
March 17 20:37

GBR

Well here we are again with another trying to sell Judaism post by the most prolific of promote Judaism everywhere posters.

Get real. The numbers of people who care about Maimondes views on the afterlife are minimal. All your post does is bring out bigots so stop peddling your totally Jewish wares and taking side swipes at other faiths while you do it.

I think the Guardian is becoming Judaised. Blog the Talmud? How about blog other faiths too?

46. 17 March

**Antisemitic**

**mirrortobigots**

Comment No. 1206105
Uhm, yes, nice sentence, but didn't most of these people support Boris Johnson for mayor? The same Boris Johnson that gives platforms to extremist Jews who say that goyim should be killed etc? Doesn't that make them hypocrites?

47. 17 March

Antisemitic

mirrortobigots

Comment No. 1206202

March 17 21:22

GBR

This is just so out of date and out of touch.

You've got western Jews plotting wars with Iraq and Iran to kill millions for Israel, innumerable Jewish terrorists plotting to kill civilians, radical Jews preaching hate and violence in hundreds of yeshivas and calling for beth din law to be imposed on the UK -- yet this is what you are worried about?!

The BNP -- while clearly disagreeable -- is hardly a threat to the British way of life compared to the dangers posed by Britain's Zionists. And yet you keep your heads in the sand and turn the other cheek.

After all, it is infinitely easier to condemn a BNP thug and denounce Muslims than it is to face the real and imminent threat emanating from Jewish community.

Wake up people...before it's too late.

48. 5 March

Antisemitic lie

HughZurrah

Comment No. 1176137

March 5 0:25

GBR

Stevejfgb tells us that "The Palestinians celebrated 9/11 when it happened". Yes and so did "the dancing Israelis" Mossad cell arrested by the New York Police for high-fiving and dancing in the street as the second jet crashed into the South Tower. And now, true to form, you will tell us that it did not happen and brand the New York Police Department a Jew hating force.

[Offensive? Unsuitable? Report this comment.]
Antisemitic

http://commentisfree.guardian.co.uk/chris_ames/2008/02/marginal_benefits.html

HughZurrah

Blair was paid by Levy to carry out a pro Israel foreign policy
when he should having been looking after the British peoples interests
and now we have a Zionist Jew for a Foreign Secretary
who sits down to dinner with his racist family members
who are ethnically cleansing Palestinians from Palestinian land

(if we are to believe the Israeli press).

50. 11 February

ANTISEMITIC

HumaneShield
February 11, 2008 10:47 PM
AppleOgia needs a cold shower.....LOL
Any body wishing to learn about AppleOgia's "religion" should read Israel Shahak's
"Jewish History, Jewish religion"
Here are some beauties from the wonderful Jewish Talmud
O.K. to Cheat Non-Jews
Sanhedrin 57a . A Jew need not pay a gentile ("Cuthean") the wages owed him for work.
Jews Have Superior Legal Status
Baba Kamma 37b. "If an ox of an Israelite gores an ox of a Canaanite there is no liability; but if an ox of a Canaanite gores an ox of an Israelite...the payment is to be in full."
Jews May Steal from Non-Jews
Baba Mezia 24a . If a Jew finds an object lost by a gentile ("heathen") it does not have to be returned. (Affirmed also in Baba Kamma 113b). Sanhedrin 76a, God will not spare a Jew who "marries his daughter to an old man or takes a wife for his infant son or returns a lost article to a Cuthean..."
Jews May Rob and Kill Non-Jews
Sanhedrin 57a . When a Jew murders a gentile ("Cuthean"), there will be no death penalty. What a Jew steals from a gentile he may keep.
Baba Kamma 37b. The gentiles are outside the protection of the law and God has "exposed their money to Israel."
Jews May Lie to Non-Jews
Baba Kamma 113a. Jews may use lies ("subterfuges") to circumvent a Gentile.
Non-Jewish Children are Sub-Human
Yebamoth 98a. All gentile children are animals.
Abodah Zarah 36b. Gentile girls are in a state of niddah (filth) from birth.
Abodah Zarah 22a-22b . Gentiles prefer sex with cows.
Sanhedrin 55b. A Jew may marry a three year old girl (specifically, three years "and a day" old).
Sanhedrin 54b. A Jew may have sex with a child as long as the child is less than nine years old.
Kethuboth 11b. "When a grown-up man has intercourse with a little girl it is nothing."

Rabbi Yitzhak Ginsburg declared, "We have to recognize that Jewish blood and the blood of a goy are not the same thing." (NY Times, June 6, 1989, p.5).


Also with regard to the dead, [it is plausible] that he is exempt, since after death one is called corpse and not a man (Adam). But why is one exempt in the case of gentiles (goyim); are they not in the category of man (Adam)? No, it is written: 'And ye my sheep, the sheep of my pasture, are man' (Adam); [Ezekiel 34:31]: Ye are called man (Adam) but gentiles (goyim) are not called man (Adam).

Maimonides, Mishneh Torah, Hilchot Rotze'ach 2:11: "A Jew who killed a righteous gentile is not executed in a court of law. It says in Exodus 21:14, 'If a man schemes against his fellow man and kills the man deliberately, take him away from the altar and put him to death.' But a gentile is not considered a man, and even more so, a Jew is not executed for killing an unrighteous gentile."

Minor Tractates. Soferim 15, Rule 10. This is the saying of Rabbi Simon ben Yohai: Tob shebe goyyim harog ("Even the best of the gentiles should all be killed").

The amazing thing is there are Talmic courts freely operating in Britain promoting this cr*p- time to ban ALL Beth Din courts in the UK.

Offensive? Unsuitable? Email us.
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This one was spotted by a Commenter two days after it was posted. The Moderators missed it.

ANTISEMITISM

GenrikhYagoda
Comment No. 1134248
February 16 16:50
GBR

Seths reference to Balaam is facsinating because his name is synonmous in Judaism with Jesus.

The Jewish encloypedia
" Whereupon Balaam fell, pierced by the sword (Targ. Yer. to Num. xxxi. 8; Sanh. 106b). 
Henceforth he became the type of false prophets seducing men to lewdness and obscene idolatrous practises (Rev. ii. 14; II Peter ii. 15; Jude 11; Abot v.19). The name "Nicolaitanes," given to the Christian heretics "holding the doctrine of Balaam" (Rev. ii. 6, 15), is probably derived from the Grecized form of Balaam, = Νικούγαος, and hence also the pseudonym "Balaam," given to Jesus in Sanh. 106b and Git. 57a. See Geiger, "Bileam and Jesus," in "WissenschaftlicheZeitschrift für Jüdische Theologie," vi. 31-37).

The life of this sorcerer was further detailed in the "Sefer ha-Yashar" legends and by the later cabalists (Yalk., Reubeni to Balak). Balaam's asformed an especial object of haggadic interpretation and embellishment.

"The speaking mouth of the ass" was declared to be one of the ten miraculous things that God had created in the twilight of the sixth day (Abot v. 6). Targ. Yer. to Num. xxi. 30 gives a long monition which the ass offers to her foolish master.
As Balaam the magician and, according to the derivation of his name, "destroyer of the people," was from both of these points of view a good prototype of Jesus, the latter was also called "Balaam."

The Resurrection.

It is clear, therefore, that the Jewish legends deny the resurrection of Jesus; the halakic assertion that Balaam (i.e., the prototype of Jesus) had no part in the future life must also be especially noted (Sanh. x. 2). It is further said: "The pupils of the recreant Balaam inherit hell" (Abot v. 19). Jesus is accordingly, in the following curious Talmudic legend, thought to sojourn in hell. A certain Onkelos b. Kaloniḳos, son of Titus' sister, desired to embrace Judaism, and called up from hell by magic first Titus, then Balaam, and finally Jesus, who are here taken together as the worst enemies of Judaism. He asked Jesus: "Who is esteemed in that world?" Jesus said: "Israel." "Shall one join them?" Jesus said to him: "Further their well-being; do nothing to their detriment; whoever touches them touches even the apple of His eye." Onkelos then asked the nature of his punishment, and was told that it was the degrading fate of those who mock the wise (Git. 56b-57a). This most revolting passage was applied in the Middle Ages to another Jesus (e.g., by R. Jehiel, in the Paris disputation; "Wikkuaḥ," p. 4, Thorn, 1873). A parallel to the story is found in the statement of the "Toledot" that when Judas found he could not touch Jesus in any way in the aerial battle, he defiled him. This feature naturally especially angered Christians (see Wagenseil, "Tela Ignea Satanae," p. 77).

According to a passage in the Zohar (Steinschneider, "Polemische Litteratur," p. 362) the same degrading fate is meted out to both Jesus and Mohammed.

The Talmud speaks of a number of vile punishments for Balaam (e.g. Jesus) who is described as illegitimate, a magician and boiling in excrement in hell.

---

52. 19 February

**Traitor Trope**

@donoevil

"You would do well to consider loyalties to the country you live in with a bit more gratitude"

53. 17 February 2008 11:36

**Antisemitic**

http://commentisfree.guardian.co.uk/mick_dumper/2008/02/gloom_over_ jerusalem.html

The posts by HumaneShield were rabidly antisemitic, including Holocaust Denial. They were cut-and-pasted from Jewish Tribal Review which describes itself on its website as follows:

"The Jewish Tribal Review: a compilation of links to online articles (largely from the mainstream media and Jewish ethnic sources) about Jewish influence in popular culture, Jewish power, Jewish ethnocentrism, Jewish wealth, Jewish power, Jewish
ethnocentrism, Judaism, Jewish racism, Jewish political lobbying, Israel, and Zionism."

Part of his posts are from Chapter 18 of a work beloved by revisionists and anti-Semitic hate sites: "When Victims Rule: A Critique of Jewish Pre-eminence in America".

It took more than 12 hours for the Moderators to delete this material. Exactly the same happened the previous weekend with Yagoda.

If they do not have the staff to moderate at the weekends then they should not leave the threads open.

HumaneShield
Comment No. 1134950
February 16 23:30
GBR

Quote:
wideblueyonder
"I am simply baffled by this utterly ahistorical removal of centuries of Jewish persecution, ethnic cleansing and genocide from any understanding of Zionism. I cannot for the life of me get my head round why such a glaringly obvious fact is being summarily erased like Trotsky from the photographs."
This is bull because the zionists had nothing but contempt for the holocaust victims - they were passive religious "old" jews-
"If I am asked, "Could you give from the UJA moneys to rescue Jews, 'I say, NO! and I say again NO!"
Izaak Greenbaum -- head of Jewish Agency Rescue Committee
February 18, 1943
"One Cow in Palestine is worth more than all the Jews in Poland"
Izaak Greenbaum
The zionists only latched onto the holocaust post 1967 as a way to silence criticism of their being a colonial state:

What came to be known as "the Holocaust," says Edward Lilenthal, "was often indistinguishable, in the immediate postwar years, from the millions of noncombatant casualties due to terror bombings of civilian populations, epidemic illness, or starvation. It was considered by most as simply part of the horror of war." [LILENTHAL, p. 5]

In Israel, in the early years after the Holocaust, Jewish survivors were even scorned with contempt by Israeli Jews as "soap" (i.e., feebly passive Jews who were passively turned into bars of soap by Nazi tormentors, [GOREN, p. 159] the fulfillment of demeaning stereotypes about fellow Jews. "With what scorn," noted Georges Tamarin in 1973, "Israeli youth reacts to the alleged faint-heartedness of the six million victims of Nazis!" [TAMARIN, p. 115] The Holocaust was an emblem of shame to Jewry, little discussed, more often avoided. "Even in their extraordinary death
agony," notes Haim Breseeth, "the millions of European Jews had not attracted sympathy [in Israel] -- a minimum expectation from an important Jewish community." [BRESEETH, p. 196] "In retrospect," says Arye Carmon," it appears that a disturbing conjunction evolved between the incomprehensible magnitude of evil of the Nazis and the victims who conscientiously were presented as an ideological object to be disassociated from. This conjunction may explain the duality of guilt and shame that has portrayed mourning in Israel." [CARMON, p. 76] A daughter of Holocaust survivors who was raised in Israel remarked at a conference there that

"What I hated and dreaded most when I was a child was summertime. It was a time when the [tattooed concentration camp] numbers on my mother's arm would be there for all to see and people would know that she was a survivor and was one of the despised people. People like my parents were despised in Israel, and I was ashamed of them." [EMMETT, p. 147]

"In 1947 a Jewish concentration camp survivor, Primo Levy, could only interest a small, obscure press to publish an account of his experiences and the volume was little noticed. [TRAVERSO, p. 104] Even Eli Wiesel's ultimately influential work about the Holocaust, Night, did not appear in English until 1960, after twenty publishers had rejected it. [WHITFIELD p. 74] "We would look in vain in the 1950s," says Jacob Neusner, "for what some call 'Holocaustmania.'" [NEUSNER, STRANGER, p. 84]

"Many Jews raised in the United States in the wake of the Holocaust," notes Melanie Kaye-Kantrowitz, "experienced it like a family secret -- hovering, controlling, but barely mentioned except in code or casual reference." [BRODKIN, K., p. 141]

In 1961 only two of 31 discussants in a major Jewish magazine's symposium on "Jewishness and Younger Intellectuals" put any emphasis on the Holocaust effecting their lives. In that same year, another important Jewish magazine's theme of "My Jewish Affirmation" overlooked the Holocaust almost completely. [LINENTHAL, p. 8] Even as late as 1966, when Commentary published a forum on "Jewish belief" in its pages, "the Holocaust," notes Nathan Glazer, "did not figure in any of the questions, nor, it must be said, did it figure in the answers." [GLAZER, American, p. 172] In a collection of 1960s-era interviews with Israeli prime minister David Ben Gurion "the word Holocaust never appears." [STERNBERGER, I., 8-15-95] [Offensive? Unsuitable? Report this comment.]
"Does the loss of the third of a total population in an act of attempted total genocide bear any resemblance whatsoever to the above statement?" Yet as has been shown the holocaust played a marginal role in israel's foundation - why is it being dragged up now? Jews in the past suffered proportionately far higher deaths than 1/3 of the population without resorting to zionism - yes the numbers were higher but this is was in the context of an apocalyptic war when 49 million other people died. The gypsies suffered proportionately higher deaths but they don't go on about it nor demand their own state to roam in. Perhaps next time a Gypsy robs you he can say "hey 1/2 my people were wiped out in the holocaust" - the Israelis do the same but on a much larger scale.

---

"If this were true, rather than being an artificial construct and special pleading to justify zionism, then why is it that so many Jews feel as I do and not as you do? They all have cloth ears too? Why is Jewish suffering unlike any other suffering? Do Slavs, for example suffer? Do Arabs suffer? Or does their suffering not matter? Maybe you can see why your ideology has been rejected as racism by so many. Calling them all anti-semites gets you nowhere, because you cannot demonstrate that and you know it isn't true.

I think it is YOUR ideology that is the greatest obstruction to a just and lasting peace, that it is a disloyalty to the people of Israel, and that it is a stimulus to terrorism and extremism."

"Comparisons to determine which group suffered the worst tragedy"
serve neither the past nor the present. The uniqueness of the Holocaust, however, invites us to focus specific attention on it and its lessons for modern society." [BOLKOSKY, 1987, p. 13]

The Holocaust gapes like a wound within the ongoing Jewish "particularist/universalist" tension: What's more important, a larger community of human beings in general, or Jews in particular? The traditional answer, and the renewed answer for many Jews today, is the latter. "It makes no sense," proclaims Alvin Rosenfeld, "to add up all the corpses [killed by the Nazis] without distinction and pile them on some abstract slaughter heap called 'mankind.' [ROSENFELD, p. 160] Rosenfeld, like most Jews, wants to wade through the dead and sort them out: Jews in the rays of light, the rest in shadows.

"To cheaply universalize the Holocaust would be a distortion of history," says Elie Wiesel, and then, in vintage Orwellian doublespeak, "The universality of the Holocaust lies in its [Jewish] uniqueness.' [RITTNER, Chap 8] Emil Fackenheim condemns those who "universalize the Holocaust," those who "avoid precisely what ought to arrest philosophical thought. It is escapism into universalism." [FAckenheim, Holo, p. 17] "The uniqueness of the Holocaust," insists Gershon Mamlak, "was manifested in a dual form: the way the victims experienced it, and the way the Gentile world performed and/or witnessed it." [MAMLAK, p. 12]

[Offensive? Unsuitable? Report this comment.]

Quote:

More use of the holocaust for israeli supremacism
"The Holocaust is something different. It is a singular event. It is not simply one example of genocide but a near successful attempt on the life of God's chosen children and, thus, on God Himself. It is an event that is the antithesis of Creation as recorded in the Bible; and like its direct opposite, which is relived weekly with the Sabbath and yearly with the Torah, it must be remembered from generation to generation." Abraham H. Foxman, National Director of the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith (New York), writing in ADL On the Frontline (January 1994, page 2)

There is even a post-Holocaust Jewish rationale that encourages guilt in those Jews who still insist upon a universalist approach to other people. Deborah Lipstadt, for instance, claims that

"The Holocaust ... poses ... fundamental questions for those [Jews] who have shunned the particular in Judaism and have embraced the universal. Those who have pursued in Judaism's name the causes of
others and who have denied the legitimacy of specific Jewish concerns must recognize that the Holocaust calls many of the premises of their belief into question." [LIPSTADT, p. 340]

Hence, for many Jews there is no space for reflection upon the commonality of human suffering in World War II. In popular Jewish opinion no other people are entitled, or allowed, to share Jewish center stage of Utmost Tragedy.

"Nothing annoys Jews so much as to be told that other people have suffered," says Liebman and Cohen. "Not a few American Jewish spokesmen have bristled at the use of the words holocaust and even genocide to describe tragedies that have befallen other minorities and nationalities." [LIEBMAN/COHEN, p. 31]

In 1982, an international conference in Israel on "The Holocaust and Genocide" drew attack from Jews "who feared the uniqueness of their tragedy would somehow be compromised by the conference's inclusion of other victims, including Armenians, Tibetans, Gypsies, and Cambodians." [LIBOWITZ, p. 272] A few years later, in giving a speech memorializing Holocaust victims, President Carter offended -- among many others -- a professor of Jewish History at Hebrew University in Jerusalem, Yehuda Baer, for daring to mention victims other than Jews. Carter was trying to "de-Judaize" the Holocaust, wrote Baer, which was "an unconscious reflection of anti-Semitic attitudes" based on "a certain paradoxical envy on the part of non-Jewish groups directed at the Jewish experience of the Holocaust." "To Baer," notes David Stannard, "the simple acknowledgement of the suffering of others constituted Jew-hating." [STANNARD, p. 168] Stannard, a professor of American Studies at the University of Hawaii, notes the preposterous position taken on the subject by Deborah Lipstadt, a professor of Jewish Studies at Emory University:

"Lipstadt regards as her enemy anyone who expressed doubts about the utter singularity in all of human history of Jewish suffering and death under Hitler ... In short, if you disagree with Deborah Lipstadt that the Jewish suffering in the Holocaust was unique, you are, by definition -- and like [former Ku Klux Klan member] David Duke -- a crypto-Nazi." [STANNARD, p. 168]

British scholar John Fox notes Lipstadt's position on the Holocaust subject to be "nothing less than intellectual fascism." [FOX, J., 3-19-2000, p. 47, 48]

Clinging tightly to the moral and political leverage afforded by the "uniqueness" of the Jewish experience in World War II, Christians are not welcome to search for parallel unity (in their own millions of dead) in the circle of suffering. "The Jewish community," Michael Berenbaum smugly notes, "has become ... deeply suspicious of Roman Catholic efforts to discover -- some would say invent -- a tradition of Roman Catholic martyrology in the Holocaust." [BERENBAUM, STRUGGLE, p. 85]
A chorus of Jewish critics led an attack upon a non-Jewish novelist, William Styron, for daring to write about the death camps in a novel from a non-Jewish perspective. Theodore Ziolkowski cites Alvin Rosenfeld as a typical complainant: "Rosenfeld's attack on ... Styron is based on two premises: an unwillingness to see the universal implications of the Holocaust and indignation at Styron's assumption that a Polish Catholic woman could be viewed as a representative victim of the camps." [ZIOLKOWSKI, p. 602]

[Quote]

For Emil Fackenheim, the word "Holocaust" is so sacred that "it has seemed to me that this word should be used sparingly lest it be used in vain." [FACKENHEIM, p. 16] George Kren and Leon Rappoport "hold that the Holocaust was unique because no other event of the modern era has so undercut the moral/humanitarian credibility of western civilization." [KREN, Was, p. 22] Irving Greenberg and Rosenfeld declared that "the Holocaust is an event of such magnitude that it creates a historical force field of its own.' [BRESLAUER, p. 6]

"In Jewish discourse on the Holocaust," says Peter Novick, in an unusual Jewish perspective, "we have not just a competition [among other alleged "victims"] for recognition but a competition for primacy. This takes many forms. Among the most widespread and pervasive is an angry insistence on the uniqueness of the Holocaust ... The assertion that the Holocaust is unique -- like the claim that it is singularly incomprehensible or unrepresentable -- is, in practice, deeply offensive. What else can all of this possibly mean except 'your catastrophe, unlike ours, is ordinary; unlike ours is comprehensible; unlike ours is representable." [NOVICK, P., 1999, p. 9]

Cuddihy underscores the racist undercurrent to the "Holocaust uniqueness" claim as a latent expression of the Chosen People paradigm, noting that Jewish philosopher Emil Fackenheim even calls the non-Jewish dead at the Nazi concentration camps "quasi-Jews," [CUDDIHY, p. 67] marginalized stand-ins for those really worth counting. "The 'Holocaust' is the Jews' special thing," says Rabbi Jacob Neusner, "It is what sets them apart from others while giving them a claim upon others. That is why Jews insist on the 'uniqueness' of the Holocaust." [NEUSNER, Holo, p. 978] "Let us be frank," says Cuddihy, "National priority and national unicity (uniqueness) are both covert claims to superiority, parallel paths to the same summit, and that summit
is what [Robert] Merton calls 'ethnocentric glory.'" [CUDDIHY, Holo, p. 74] ... Like social class symbols, cultural symbols serve 'to influence in a desired direction other persons' judgments' of the group that is the symbol's carrier." [CUDDIHY, p. 75]

Uniqueness linked to incomparable suffering makes deep demands upon others. "Beyond moral privileges," note Charles Liebman and Steven Cohen, "the Jews feel that their suffering entitles them to a special consideration from the non-Jewish world. Groups (and individuals) often make much of their history of suffering as a way of strengthening their claims to certain rewards." [LIEBMAN/COHEN, p. 44] "Out of this peculiar [Jewish] emphasis on suffering," noted Rabbi Richard Singer in 1960 when the post-Holocaust political dimensions of this had hardly begun to take shape, "there has developed an attitude, a new attitude of vicarious suffering -- a feeling among numbers of Jews today that because other Jews suffered and died they, the living, are somehow entitled to special consideration." [ZUKERMAN, p. 66] "One of the characteristics of nationalist Jews," said William Zukerman (noting, also in 1960, the commentary of Rabbi Singer), "is to look upon the Jewish group as isolated from the rest of humanity, particularly when it comes to suffering. They see only Jewish suffering and do not see the context of the entire world scene. The result is a distorted historical picture, showing Jews as the only sufferers, while the rest of the world presumably basks in happiness. As compensation for their suffering, it is assumed that Jews, as a group, are somehow entitled to special privileges which other people do not deserve (for instance, special immigration facilities, special fund raising, emigration from communist countries, etc.). [ZUKERMAN, p. 66]

The "unique" suffering of Jews affords the possibility to make even this preposterously manipulative declaration by Jewish journalist-novelist Ann Roiphe: "The scale and terror of the Holocaust makes it clear that Jews are innocent and a wronged people, murdered and abandoned to their fate. This makes Christians, even Christians who were not in Europe at the time, a guilty people." [ROIPHE, CHANES, p. 461]

In discussing classroom methodologies to teach the Holocaust, Richard Libowitz observes that

"The Holocaust is a unique event in human history ... Efforts to constrain knowledge within standard lines will conceal the uniqueness, effecting diminishing student perceptions ... Students must be taken ... to the edge of the abyss and made to look down ... Traditional pedagogical norms caution educators against subjective involvement with their materials; the Holocaust, on the contrary, demands entry into the event." [LIBOWITZ, Asking, p. 63]

[Offensive? Unsuitable? Report this comment.]
Quote:
John Fox, a non-Jewish college teacher of the Holocaust, notes, from first-hand experience, the same disturbing problem:

"Some historians or writers are deemed acceptable for entry into the fold of the chosen: if you accept the totally absurd uniqueness theory (which refuses to acknowledge in the same breath as the Holocaust the millions of other victims of genocide in the 20th century), not only are you home dry but if you are non-Jewish you are actually feted. If you don't you are excluded and damned to hell in terms of your profession." [FOX, J., 3-19-2000, p. 47-48]

Elie Wiesel, a kind of semi-official guru of the Holocaust, invariably seeks to mystify the tragedy, elevating Jewish suffering (beyond others' suffering) into a specially transcendent, holy, and sacred realm. "[The death camp of] Auschwitz cannot be explained nor can it be visualized," he says, "Whether culmination or abbreviation of history, the Holocaust transcends history. Everything about the Holocaust is inspired by fear and despair: the [Jewish] dead are in possession of a secret that we, the living, are neither worthy of nor capable of recovering." [MARTIN, p. 45-46] Elsewhere, Wiesel even declared that, "Remove the Jews from the Holocaust, and the Event loses its mystery." [PAPAZIAN, p. 17] ("For the many Jews who, like me, have experienced nothing of the horrors," wrote Alfred Kazin, "Elie Wiesel became the embodiment of the Holocaust ... [Yet] Isaac Bashevis Singer scoffed at his novels; Hannah Arendt put him down as a publicity seeker; an Israeli novelist said bitterly of him: 'The Holocaust -- and me.' ... I thought synthetic the hysterically 'religious' atmosphere he built up in his books." [KAZIN, p. 122]

Maxime Rodinson, a French Jew whose own parents perished at the hands of the Nazis, alludes to the undercurrent of Jewish ethnocentrism and racism in their Holocaust mythology:

"Contempt for or massacre of white Jews by white Europeans is not looked at the same way as the massacre of Armenians by Turks, of Blacks by slave traders, or of Gypsies, of Chinese in Indonesia, and so on. Auschwitz is elevated to a metaphysical phenomena, but not the butchery other peoples have suffered." [RODINSON, p. 9]

David Stannard, author of a number of books about Native American "Holocausts" resulting from contact with European civilization, follows suit with a poignant condemnation of the racist origin of all such Jewish claims of exceptional suffering:

"The Holocaust hagiographers arguing for the uniqueness of the Jewish experience ... are zealots who believe literally that they and their religious
fellows are, in the words of Deuteronomy 7:1, 'a special people ... above all people that are on the face of the earth,' interpreting in the only way thus possible their own community's recent encounter with mass death ... With its spiritual emphasis on the maintenance of blood purity (e.g., Deuteronomy 7:3; Joshua 23:12-13), and on the either tacit or expressed pollution fear of corrupting that purity with the defiling blood of others, the ideology of the covenant intrinsically is but a step away from full-blown racism and, if the means are available, often violent oppression of the purportedly threatening non-chosen." [STANNARD, p. 193]

As Novick notes about the claim of Holocaust uniqueness:

"To single out those aspects of the Holocaust that were distinctive (there certainly were such), and to ignore those aspects that it shared with other atrocities, and on the basis of this gerrymandering to declare the Holocaust is unique, is intellectual sleight of hand." [FOX, J., 3-19-2000, p. 47-48]

In some Jewish quarters there is even a sacred literature about the Holocaust, rivaling any Holy Book, likewise beyond criticism or questioning. Jewish survivors' accounts are among the most hallowed testimonies and Elie Wiesel is one of the sacred authors. "The only completely decent 'review,'" says George Steiner, "of the Warsaw Diary or [Wiesel's] Night would be to re-copy the book, line by line, pausing at the names of the dead and the names of the children as the Orthodox scribe pauses, when recopying the bible, at the hallowed name of God." [ROSENFELD, p. 9] Another Jewish critic, A. Alvarez, wrote in Commentary that "as a human document ... Night is ... certainly beyond criticism." [WIESEL, first page]

The Jewish Holocaust ideology -- which accuses and blackens all non-Jews as complacent sinners in the Crime of crimes -- functions as a methodological tool by which Jews do not need to atone to their fellow man for their own sins.

[Offensive? Unsuitable? Report this comment.]

54. 16 December 2007

ANTISEMITISM

December 16 1:20

GBR

edwardrice

"You all seem to be Jewish so doubt this is preventing you from seeing the issue clearly. But get this, this is UK and British interests are not the same as Israeli ones. You would do well to consider loyalties to the country you live in with a bit more gratitude."
Antisemitism

This is cut and pasted off the David Duke website. It is antisemitic rubbish.

http://www.guardian.co.uk/commentisfree/2008/feb/11/sharia.religion

HumaneShield

February 11, 2008 10:47 PM

AppleOgia needs a cold shower......LOL

Any body wishing to learn about AppleOgia's "religion" should read Israel Shahak's "Jewish History, Jewish religion"

Here are some beauties from the wonderful Jewish Talmud

O.K. to Cheat Non-Jews

Sanhedrin 57a. A Jew need not pay a gentile ("Cuthean") the wages owed him for work.

Jews Have Superior Legal Status

Baba Kamma 37b. "If an ox of an Israelite gores an ox of a Canaanite there is no liability; but if an ox of a Canaanite gores an ox of an Israelite...the payment is to be in full."

Jews May Steal from Non-Jews

Baba Mezia 24a. If a Jew finds an object lost by a gentile ("heathen") it does not have to be returned. (Affirmed also in Baba Kamma 113b). Sanhedrin 76a. God will not spare a Jew who "marries his daughter to an old man or takes a wife for his infant son or returns a lost article to a Cuthean..."

Jews May Rob and Kill Non-Jews

Sanhedrin 57a. When a Jew murders a gentile ("Cuthean"), there will be no death penalty. What a Jew steals from a gentile he may keep.

Baba Kamma 37b. The gentiles are outside the protection of the law and God has "exposed their money to Israel."

Jews May Lie to Non-Jews

Baba Kamma 113a. Jews may use lies ("subterfuges") to circumvent a Gentile.

Non-Jewish Children are Sub-Human

Yebamoth 98a. All gentile children are animals.
Abodah Zarah 36b. Gentile girls are in a state of niddah (filth) from birth.

Abodah Zarah 22a-22b. Gentiles prefer sex with cows.

Sanhedrin 55b. A Jew may marry a three year old girl (specifically, three years "and a day" old).

Sanhedrin 54b. A Jew may have sex with a child as long as the child is less than nine years old.

Kethuboth 11b. "When a grown-up man has intercourse with a little girl it is nothing."

Rabbi Yitzhak Ginsburg declared, "We have to recognize that Jewish blood and the blood of a goy are not the same thing." (NY Times, June 6, 1989, p.5).


Also with regard to the dead, [it is plausible] that he is exempt, since after death one is called corpse and not a man (Adam). But why is one exempt in the case of gentiles (goyim); are they not in the category of man (Adam)? No, it is written: 'And ye my sheep, the sheep of my pasture, are man' (Adam); [Ezekiel 34:31]: Ye are called man (Adam) but gentiles (goyim) are not called man (Adam)."

Maimonides, Mishneh Torah, Hilchot Rotze'ach 2:11: "A Jew who killed a righteous gentile is not executed in a court of law. It says in Exodus 21:14, 'If a man schemes against his fellow man and kills the man deliberately, take him away from the altar and put him to death.' But a gentile is not considered a man, and even more so, a Jew is not executed for killing an unrighteous gentile."

Minor Tractates. Soferim 15, Rule 10. This is the saying of Rabbi Simon ben Yohai: Tob shebe goyyim harog ("Even the best of the gentiles should all be killed").

The amazing thing is there are Talmidic courts freely operating in Britain promoting this cr*p- time to ban ALL Beth Din courts in the UK
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56. 14 December

Antisemitic

"You should stick to being British first and Jewish second, not the other way round."

57. 12 June 2007

Antisemitic – this commenter is intensely anti-Semitic but has not been banned
Comment No. 633344
June 12 15:19
FRA
The Jewish Supremacy Rag
(Deronda's Lament)
The rottenest bits of God's Promised Land
Are still in the hands of Arabian bands
Examine the Palestinian, the Egyptian or Druze
You'll find he's a stinker and envies the Jews
The Jewish the Jewish the Jewish are best
I wouldn't give two sheckels for all of the rest
The Egyptian is haughty as we're all well aware
He's boney, rides camels and is lacking in hair
He eats stuffed pigeons, he sleeps all the day
And hasn't got rabbis to show him the way
The Jewish the Jewish the Jewish are best
I wouldn't give two sheckels for all of the rest
The Palestinian now our contempt is beneath
He sleeps in his sandals and lies through his teeth
He blows up civilians and babies in prams
And blames it on Herzl and Moshe Dayan
The Jewish are moral the Jewish are good
And clever and modest and misunderstood
The Syrian's dishonest, he cheats when he can
He's shrewd and he's wily and wants back the Golan
He digs underground 'cos he'll never forgive
And buries his rockets to blow up Tel Aviv
The Jewish the Jewish the Jewish are best
I wouldn't give two sheckels for all of the rest
And further afield there ain't much to be proud
of the Kurds, the Irakis, the Lybians or Sauds
The Lybians are Lybians, the Iranians are mad
And the Turks and Jordanians are almost as bad
The Jewish are noble, the Jewish are nice
And worth any other at double the price
And all the world over they all want to bash
That Light among Nations, that land of panache
They're friendly with Muslims, they cheer when we lose
And they don't like us settling the Land of the Jews
The Jewish the Jewish the Jewish are best
I wouldn't give two sheckels for all of the rest
It's not that they're wicked or naturally bad
It's just they ain't Jewish that makes them so mad
The Jewish are all that a nation should be
And the pride of the Jewish are Yoshki and me
The Jewish the Jewish the Jewish are best
I wouldn't give two sheckels for all of the rest

*The Jewish Supremacy Rag is a collective work by Right, UneVoix, Justwondering, Youwon'tlikethis, Deronda and others too numerous to mention...
Copyright Giyus.org
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Antisemitic

SOMEONE POINTED OUT THIS THREAD TO ME. IT DATES FROM APRIL 2007, THAT IS, BEFORE I STARTED TAKING AN INTEREST IN CIF AND 'MONITORING' IT.

THIS PROVES THE POINT ABOUT THE GUARDIAN’S MODERATION BEING WOEFULLY INADEQUATE. LOOK AT THE AMOUNT OF ANTISEMITISM WHICH IS STILL THERE, MORE THAN ONE YEAR LATER!

Berchmans

April 1, 2007 8:08 AM

AussieDigger

## Europe’s job to please the Jew-controlled Americans ##

This is not acceptable in my humble opinion.

I normally accuse such posts of being from spies as folk who post to CIF are usually a little more subtle ..if they genuinely consider that the US is actually controlled by people of the Jewish persuasion.

The debate is the extent to which Jewish folk have influence in terms of access to government policy and financial clout.

Whilst you can argue that these are both significant..none the less to baldly state the words * Jew controlled * is asking for a kicking on CIF.

It helps to provide ammunition to the hundreds of posters who claim that criticism of Israel is based on antiSemitism..

Hence if you are a spy I would ask you to please refrain from this type of activity.

If you are genuine please be aware that you are harming the cause of the rest of us who are appalled at both anti Semitism and the activities of the IDF.

B
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alert

April 1, 2007 8:39 AM

"Maybe Blair should contact the Israeli's for help in dealing with Iran."
Tell us more about Israel’s tactics, Yoman. You established already the new Warsaw and Lodz Ghettos in Palestine and now you want to expand your Ghettos to Iran?
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alaska

April 1, 2007 11:25 AM

Reading the Cif is feeling more and more like a culture shock. Last time I saw so many rednecks was in D.C., when the West-Virginia youth was parading the streets of Georgetown Saturday evenings. Apparently the Guardian is very attractive forum for the united American and English simpletons. Unfortunately the EU is not simple, but extremely complicated. There is no EU foreign policy. You cannot ask for something that does not exist. There are 25 countries, most have no interest to be dragged into a war with Iran. This is your mess. The USA is a nation about to collapse. There is a good chance it will even cease to exist, when the Californians understand that they need earn the money the others are wasting.

Berchman: Will the jews be able to make the USA go to war with Iran? This will tell the world how much jewish influence there is.
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NotNew

April 1, 2007 2:30 PM

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Malcolm_Rifkind

readthepaper said

>>>I'm sorry you found fodder in my comment to slander me as antisemitic. I merely pointed out the facts: Rifkind is Jewish and extremely pro-Israel. He would thus like nothing more than to see the US/UK (or anyone else for that matter) invade Iran. He is also the chairman of the Armour Group. The Armour Group provides mercenaries to the Allies in Iraq for a handsome profit. He would benefit financially from an invasion of Iran, as he would be providing mercenaries into that war also. Or were you unaware of these minor details? I'm surprised the Guardian didn't mention these facts, as I'm sure they are fully aware of them. In my opinion, anyone who runs a mercenary company profiting from war should not be taken sufficiently seriously by the Guardian to pose as an intellectual airing his view -- regardless of what past positions he may have held in the government. This is as preposterous as Adnan Khashogi providing his views about the
desirability of starting a new war.
At the end of the day, there is probably going to be a war with Iran, and many, many, thousands and thousands of people will probably lose their lives. Let's not let the rhetoric of a war-profiteer like Mr. Rifkind of the Armour Group paint public opinion ahead of it. At least not in the Guardian.<<

This is the problem we have, all kinds of interest groups shouting the odds, I wish people would think about where some of these voices are really coming from.
BTW this worked last time, it's good to talk!.
http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/world/middle_east/3835313.stm
http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/world/middle_east/3826179.stm
Andy
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dangor

April 2, 2007 7:20 AM

Dear Sir Rifkind.
Though your name is not a promising sign for a good sense (I wonder whether your circumcision concurs well with the kilt) I agree with you on the account of Europe. However you may notice that your sophisticated and sensitive people suggested to eliminate my country and humiliated yours in a very sensitive way. Don't you see that even now, some time before Iran acquires atomic weapons, the West is helpless against Iran. Now, can you imagine what will happen when Iran actually has the bomb. That is going to be a pretty sight. Of course, it will not use the a-bomb, but it will use everything else. The groups and regimes sponsored by Iran will have a carte blanche to do whatever they like.
And the country clubs answering to the names GB, Fr or De have no reason whatsoever to worry. After all they will attend a new line of clients. So why is so much fuss about 15 insignificant personnel who god unlucky to associated with them. Why should Europe or anyone else care. After all it's only a private matter of "GB health and entertainment".
Offensive? Unsuitable? Email us
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